

Routledge – SCORAI Studies in Sustainable Consumption

SUSTAINABLE PRODUCTS IN THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY

IMPACT ON BUSINESS AND SOCIETY

Edited by Magdalena Wojnarowska, Marek Ćwiklicki and Carlo Ingrao



Sustainable Products in the Circular Economy

This book explores how the Circular Economy influences product design in today's business and society. Drawing on contributions from a wide range of expert thinkers, this book assesses the existing approaches, strategies, and tools which facilitate socially and environmentally responsible production and consumption systems. It then goes on to highlight the ways in which the Circular Economy conceptual framework could be implemented effectively at both micro-level (product policy) and macro-level (sustainable consumption) in order to alter the industrial landscape and increase its interconnectedness with materials and scarce resources. Highlighting the pros and cons of transitioning to this new model, the book also cautions that it will only be made possible via significant behavioural change at both industry and consumer levels. *Sustainable Products in the Circular Economy* will be of great interest to students and scholars of sustainable manufacturing, sustainable consumption, corporate social responsibility, and business ethics. It will also be relevant to industry professionals whose work dovetails with these areas.

Magdalena Wojnarowska is Assistant Professor at the Department of Technology and Ecology of Products at Cracow University of Economics, Poland.

Marek Ćwiklicki is Professor of Business Studies and Public Management and Head of Chair for Management of Public Organisations at Cracow University of Economics, Poland.

Carlo Ingrao is currently Assistant Professor in Commodity Science at the Department of Economics of University of Foggia, Italy.

Routledge - SCORAI Studies in Sustainable Consumption

Series Editors: Halina Szejnwald Brown Professor Emerita at Clark University, USA. Philip J. Vergragt Emeritus Professor at TU Delft, The Netherlands; Research Professor at Clark University, USA. Lucie Middlemiss Associate Professor and Co-Director of the Sustainability Research Institute, Leeds University, UK. Daniel Fischer Associate Professor for Consumer Communication and Sustainability, Wageningen Research and University, The Netherlands.

This series aims to advance conceptual and empirical contributions to this new and important field of study. For more information about The Sustainable Consumption Research and Action Initiative (SCORAI) and its activities please visit www.scorai.org.

Social Innocation and Sustainable Consumption

Research and Action for Societal Transformation Edited by Julia Backhaus, Audley Genus, Sylvia Lorek, Edina Vadovics and Julia M. Wittmayer

Power and Politics in Sustainable Consumption Research and Practice

Edited by Cindy Isenhour, Mari Martiskainen and Lucie Middlemiss

Local Consumption and Global Environmental Impacts

Accounting, Trade-offs and Sustainability Kuishuang Feng, Klaus Hubacek and Yang Yu

Subsistence Agriculture in the US

Reconnecting to Work, Nature and Community *Ashley Colby*

Sustainable Lifestyles after Covid-19 *Fabián Echegaray, Valerie Brachya, Philip J Vergragt and Lei Zhang*

Sustainable Products in the Circular Economy Impact on Business and Society *Edited by Magdalena Wojnarowska, Marek Ćwiklicki and Carlo Ingrao*

For more information about this series, please visit: www.routledge.com/ Routledge-SCORAI-Studies-in-Sustainable-Consumption/book-series/RSSC

Sustainable Products in the Circular Economy

Impact on Business and Society

Edited by Magdalena Wojnarowska, Marek Ćwiklicki and Carlo Ingrao



First published 2022 by Routledge 4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2022 selection and editorial matter, Magdalena Wojnarowska, Marek Ćwiklicki and Carlo Ingrao; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Magdalena Wojnarowska, Marek Ćwiklicki and Carlo Ingrao to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data A catalog record for this book has been requested

ISBN: 978-1-032-01701-3 (hbk) ISBN: 978-1-032-01719-8 (pbk) ISBN: 978-1-003-17978-8 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003179788

Typeset in Times New Roman by Apex CoVantage, LLC

Contents

	List of figures	vii
	List of tables	ix
	Preface	xi
	List of abbreviations	xiv
1	Characteristics of sustainable products	1
	MAGDALENA WOJNAROWSKA, MARIUSZ SOŁTYSIK,	
	AND CARLO INGRAO	
2	Challenges of eco-design of integrated products	18
	AGNIESZKA CHOLEWA-WÓJCIK AND AGNIESZKA KAWECKA	
3	Verification of Circular Economy solutions and	
	sustainability of products with Life Cycle Assessment	36
	TOMASZ NITKIEWICZ AND GIULIO MARIO CAPPELLETTI	
4	Significance and adjustment of environmental certification	
	schemes in the Circular Economy	53
	PIOTR KAFEL AND PAWEŁ NOWICKI	
5	Impact of environmental labelling upon popularisation	
	of the Circular Economy	70
	BARTŁOMIEJ KABAJA	
6	Interrelationship between sustainable manufacturing	
	and Circular Economy in the building sector	86
	AGNIESZKA NOWACZEK AND JOANNA KULCZYCKA	
7	Enablers and barriers in the transition to circular business	
	models: investigating the critical success factors for the	
	tipping and break-even point	104
	MAREK ĆWIKLICKI AND LINDA O'RIORDAN	

vi	Contents	
8	Costs and benefits of transition towards a circular business model URSZULA BALON, ANNA PRUSAK, AND MAREK JABŁOŃSKI	119
9	Utilisation of digitalisation in sustainable manufacturing and the Circular Economy RICCARDO BELTRAMO, ENRICA VESCE, AND STEFANO DUGLIO	138
10	Assessing sustainability across circular inter-firm networks: insights from academia and practice ANNA M. WALKER, KATELIN OPFERKUCH, ERIK ROOS LINDGREEN, ALBERTO SIMBOLI, WALTER J.V. VERMEULEN, AND ANDREA RAGGI	154
11	Deliberation as a tool in cooperation with stakeholders in companies deploying the Circular Economy based on the example of Unimetal Recycling Sp. z o.o. OLGA JANIKOWSKA, JOANNA KULCZYCKA, AND AGNIESZKA NOWACZEK	172
12	Circular models for sustainable supply chain management MAGDALENA MURADIN	187
13	Determinants of consumer behaviour – towards sustainable consumption KAMILA PILCH AND MAŁGORZATA MIŚNIAKIEWICZ	205
14	Characteristics of sustainable consumption from an economic perspective Jakub Głowacki, piotr kopyciński, mateusz malinowski, And łukasz mamica	222
15	The role of universities in development of the Circular Economy Barbara Campisi, patrizia de luca, janina filek, gianluigi gallenti, and magdalena wojnarowska	239
16	Resilience of the Circular Economy MAREK ĆWIKLICKI	255
	Biographies Index	271 280

Figures

2.1	Guidelines for eco-design taking into account the stages in the	
	product life cycle	28
2.2 3.1	Model of process for the eco-design of an integrated product System boundaries for mcl-PHA/P(3HB) production from used	31
	rapeseed oil	42
3.2	Comparison of characterisation results for ReCiPe impact	
	category endpoint indicators	45
3.3	Comparison of weighted ReCiPe damage category endpoint	
	indicators for different mcl-PHA/P(3HB) calculation set-ups	46
4.1	Standardisation of CE activities in the context of management	
	systems	56
5.1	Diagram of environmental communication for an organisation	71
6.1	Size of the Polish construction market broken down by	
	segment over the period from 2011 to 2019 (Bn PLN)	90
6.2	Steps in the research process to identify CE monitoring	
	indicators in the building sector	92
6.3	Degree of importance of CE indicators identified by entities	
	surveyed	94
6.4	Degree of importance of CE indicators over the short term (5 years)	95
7.1	The framework for transition from a linear to a circular	
	business model	109
7.2	The contingency theory for transition to a circular business model	109
8.1	Model of costs in the Circular Economy	120
8.2	Model of benefits in the Circular Economy	124
8.3	Global priorities of sub-criteria in the costs model for the CC	130
8.4	Global priorities of sub-criteria in the benefits model for the CC	130
8.5	Global priorities of sub-criteria in the combined benefits and	
	costs model for the CC	131
8.6	Global priorities of sub-criteria in the costs model for the WSC	134
8.7	Global priorities of sub-criteria in the benefits model for the WSC	134
8.8	Global priorities of sub-criteria in the combined benefits and	
	costs model for the WSC	135
9.1	Conceptual framework and steps	142

viii	Figures

Sustainability assessment framework for CIFNs	156
Use of supply chain sustainability assessment approaches by	
sampled companies	159
Map of stakeholders of cooperating companies broken down	
into common, internal, and external shareholders forming an	
economic symbiosis	174
Map of UMR stakeholders	180
Map of stakeholders for entities deploying the CE based on	
the example of UMR	181
Links between the 6R principles of the CE and elements of	
the supply chain	189
Sustainable supply chain of a biogas cluster operating in a	
closed loop	197
Diagram of the Renasci Recycling Center together with the	
BioRen section for the processing of organic waste	199
Determinants of purchasing behaviour of young consumers -	
conclusions from studies	212
Elements and activities supporting the development of socially	
responsible consumption	217
Recycling rate of municipal waste in Europe (27 countries)	
for the years 2000 to 2019	260
	Use of supply chain sustainability assessment approaches by sampled companies Map of stakeholders of cooperating companies broken down into common, internal, and external shareholders forming an economic symbiosis Map of UMR stakeholders Map of stakeholders for entities deploying the CE based on the example of UMR Links between the 6R principles of the CE and elements of the supply chain Sustainable supply chain of a biogas cluster operating in a closed loop Diagram of the Renasci Recycling Center together with the BioRen section for the processing of organic waste Determinants of purchasing behaviour of young consumers – conclusions from studies Elements and activities supporting the development of socially responsible consumption Recycling rate of municipal waste in Europe (27 countries)

Tables

2.1	List of EU projects and programmes related to the eco-design	
	of products	25
2.2	Groups of benefits for businesses implementing the model for	
	the eco-design of an integrated product and the 10R strategy	32
3.1	Methodological issues when handling different CE solutions	
	in LCA	40
3.2	Inventory data for investigated life cycles	44
4.1	Breakdown of management system standards	54
4.2	Structure of management system standards proposed by ISO	
	(type A/generic)	55
4.3	Principles of the Circular Economy	58
4.4	Stages of implementation covering the framework of the	
	Circular Economy system	59
4.5	Areas of project management activities in the CE	61
4.6	Examples of risks and opportunities related to the material	
	circulation of products and components	63
4.7	Principles of sustainable procurement in the context of the	
	Circular Economy	64
5.1	Impact of environmental labelling systems in shaping	
	consumer behaviour – a review of the literature	79
6.1	CE indicators included in the survey	93
6.2	Selected products and companies holding an implemented	
	type III environmental declaration	101
7.1	Key parts of a circular business model	107
7.2	Characteristics of linear and circular business models	108
7.3	Key factors to consider during transition to a CBM	111
8.1	Analysis of costs for the CC	128
8.2	Analysis of benefits for the CC	129
8.3	Analysis of costs for the WSC	132
8.4	Analysis of benefits for the WSC	133
9.1	Examples of support for digitalisation in the CE	147
10.1	Benchmarking literature and practice according to criteria for	
	sound sustainability assessment	163

x Tables

10.2	Recommendations for developing and applying assessment	
	procedures	168
11.1	Examples of activities of Unimetal Recycling in deliberation	
	with stakeholders	185
12.1	Elements of circular business models of sustainable supply chains	192
12.2	Factors stimulating and inhibiting the development of SSCs	194
12.3	Implementation of elements of circular business models of	
	sustainable supply chains in the cluster	198
13.1	Factors and examples of activities influencing sustainable	
	consumption	208
14.1	Indicators of the impact of the CE on socio-economic development	233
15.1	Educational programmes related to issues of SD/SDGs, SR,	
	and the CE	246
15.2	Educational programmes related to SD/SDGs, SR, and the CE	250
16.1	Selected definitions of system resilience	256
16.2	Main elements of the 9R model of the Circular Economy	263
16.3	Assessment of the importance of risks for elements of the	
	Circular Economy model	264
16.4	Number of occurrences of risks for individual elements of the	
	Circular Economy model	266
16.5	Number of occurrences of nature of risk	266

Preface

In the current era of transformation, the conceptual framework of Circular Economy (CE) is increasingly becoming meaningful and relevant in terms of the future and competitiveness of enterprises, which are the after-effects of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Programmes of integrating the concepts of the CE with industrial activities encompass alterations in manufacturing processes intended to mitigate their impact upon natural environment, the development of new ecological products, and redesign of existing business models. Alterations in manufacturing and distribution paradigms may be considered in terms of diverse aspects; however, they require participation and engagement of various groups of stakeholders. Thus, the primary aim of the intended book is to answer the following research question: what is the role of sustainable product in the CE?

The CE is, in fact, different from the linear economy, because it is essentially based upon slowing and closing resource loops: two features that can be considered to be complementary, rather than alternatives, to each other. In particular, slowing happens when long-life goods and product-life extension solutions are designed. Therefore, the utilisation time of products is extended and/or intensified, thereby contributing to the slowing down of resource flows, whereas closing happens when the loop between end-of-life and production is closed, with the consequence that post-use products are recirculated within the life cycle as zero-burden resources to produce secondary raw materials (Moraga et al., 2019). The CE plays a number of key roles in enabling industrial economics to pursue Sustainable Development. It is, indeed, acknowledged to be capable of harmonising ambitions favouring not only economic growth but also environmental protection, thereby opposing the conventional high-impact linear model of the economy (Hysa, Kruja, Rehman, & Laurenti, 2020).

In the twentieth century, environmental problems were often viewed just on a local scale due to the impact associated with product life cycles. However, today it is becoming clearer that these problems are much more complex and concern all phases of those cycles, from the extraction of material to waste or waste product management (Berkhout & Smith, 1999). Both product design and manufacturing processes have been developed already in the past to meet the needs of producing high-quality products at minimal cost in order to promote the company's competitiveness. Thus, recycling and recovery were taken into account, but they had

to compete on purely economic terms with the use of primary raw materials and disposal (O'Brien, 1999).

Transition towards CEs will be feasible only after the operating model of all market players has been rearranged. Gradual transition from the linear to the CE is a strategic goal at the European level, which is dependent upon coordinated efforts of both authorities and society, since such transformation results in significant changes to the market. Therefore, the success of CE is reliant on active involvement of authorities in the creation of relevant legal frameworks, taking into account that CE will become a reality only when the intensification of research and development has been reflected in subsequent implementation of both technologies and relevant strategies.

As a consequence of the aforementioned explanations, the core content of the proposed book centres on the characteristics of resources management compliant with the CE principles. The adopted general assumption is that such a transformation determines the change from the current model of the linear economy into the CE at the level of consumers and enterprises.

This book addresses the impact of sustainable manufacturing in compliance with key principles of the CE. It focuses on exploring how CE influences product design in today's business and society. Respective chapters assess existing approaches, strategies, and tools, thus facilitating creation, promotion, and support of socially and environmentally responsible production and consumption systems. Services are incorporated as an inherent part of product design.

The book was conceived to fill a research gap in the extant scholarship. An analysis of both the advantages and disadvantages of applying the CE in the context of product policy with respect to sustainable manufacturing is missing in current literature. The integration of CE and sustainable product policy becomes crucial, inter alia, due to technological and social progress, as well as the adverse impact of current economic models. This lacuna translates into the requirement for behavioural change in the entire environment. Therefore, this book aims to fill the identified gap by integrating sustainable product design with CE principles, thereby providing a real chance to alter the industrial landscape and its interconnectedness with materials and scarce resources.

The content of this book comprises 16 chapters prepared by 35 authors specialising in areas related to sustainable products, including design, manufacturing, marketing, business models, and consumption.

In the first chapter, an explanation of sustainable products is provided based on manufacturing strategies, which have been launched in Europe, focusing in particular on Italy and Poland. Chapter 2 presents guidelines for eco-design, which require consideration before the commencement of integrated product manufacturing. Next, the third chapter demonstrates the use of Life Cycle Assessment. It shows how calculation assumptions impact the final result. Chapter 4 presents the most popular environmental certification schemes that can be applied in line with CE principles. Chapter 5 refers to environmental labelling as a communication tool for CE solutions. It thus serves to potentially inform e-customers. The sixth chapter depicts relations between sustainable manufacturing and the CE based on an example from the building sector. Chapter 7 identifies the enablers and barriers to transit towards a circular business model. Chapter 8 develops previous topics by focusing on the costs and benefits of such change. Chapter 9 is about the role of digitalisation in fostering the implementation of sustainable manufacturing. Chapter 10 shows how sustainability is being introduced in inter-firm networks. In the following Chapter 11, the exemplary case of a recycling company is discussed in terms of using deliberation as a tool for communication with stakeholders. The issue of cooperation among companies is also a topic of the next chapter. Chapter 12 presents the theme of how to integrate CE principles with supply chains. Chapter 13 focuses on consumer behaviour by addressing how young consumers perceive sustainable consumption. The same topic is undertaken in Chapter 14; however, in this case, from an economic perspective. Chapter 15 refers to the role of universities in the diffusion of CE in society. The last chapter (Chapter 16) raises the issue of the resilience of the CE as a concept.

Funding: The project was financed by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education within the "Regional Initiative of Excellence" Program for 2019–2022. Project no.: 021/RID/2018/19. Total financing: 11,897,131.40 PLN.

References

- Berkhout, F., & Smith, D. (1999). Products and the environment: An integrated approach to policy. *European Environment*, 9(5), 174–185.
- Hysa, E., Kruja, A., Rehman, N. U., & Laurenti, R. (2020). Circular economy innovation and environmental sustainability impact on economic growth: An integrated model for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 12(12), 4831. Doi:10.3390/su12124831
- Moraga, G., Huysveld, S., Mathieux, F., Blengini, G. A., Alaerts, L., Van Acker, K., . . . Dewulf, J. (2019). Circular economy indicators: What do they measure? *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 146, 452–461. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.03.045
- O'Brien, C. (1999). Sustainable production a new paradigm for a new millennium. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 1–7, 60–61. Doi:10.1016/S0925-5273(98) 00126-1

Abbreviations

4.110	
AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
AIJ	Aggregation of individual judgements
B2B	Business-to-business
CBM	Circular business models
CC	Cleaning company
CE	Circular Economy
CR	Consistency ratio
CSC	Circular supply chains
EC	European Commission
EDIMS	EcoDesign Integration Method for SMEs
EEFP	Environmental Evaluation Tool for Food Packaging
EOD	Environmental Objectives Deployment
ERPA	Environmentally Responsible Product Assessment Matrix
EU	European Union
FGIO	Online focus group interview
FND	Function Network Diagram
FSC	Forest Stewardship Council
GTBE	Glycol tertiary butyl ether
HTC	HydroThermal Carbonisation
IoT	Internet of Things
IS	Industrial symbiosis
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LCAM	Life Cycle Asset Management
LOHAS	Lifestyle of Health and Sustainability
R&D	Research and Development
RDF	Refuse-Derived Fuels
RES	Renewable energy sources
SBD	Sustainable Behaviour Design
SD	Sustainable Development
SSC	Sustainable supply chains
SSCM	Sustainable supply chain management
TBL	Triple bottom line
WSC	Water and sewage company

1 Characteristics of sustainable products

Magdalena Wojnarowska, Mariusz Sołtysik, and Carlo Ingrao

Introduction

The economy of the last 150 years has been based upon a one-way track model (take, make, use, and dispose) that was characterised by the extraction of resources for production and consumption and by no plans for reutilising waste or actively regenerating the economy (Venkata Mohan, Modestra, Amulya, Butti, & Velvizhi, 2016). Over time, that linear model of the economy has been shown to be responsible for a number of problems, mainly related to the fact that:

- Virgin materials are extracted faster than the capacity for their replenishment;
- Post-use products are often land filled or are treated in incineration plants, with the consequence that valuable and scarce natural resources are extracted anew and so the original resources are lost for the manufacturing of new products;
- The unsafe way in which waste is managed, which is often characteristic of the linear economy, leads to hazardous substances that leach into soil, water, and air and thus generates alarming conditions of environmental pollution;
- The manufacturing and the transportation of products are responsible for extensive energy usage and environmental pollution.

Hence, linear economies can be considered to be totally unsustainable from each of the environmental, economic, and social dimensions of sustainability (Ingrao, Arcidiacono, Siracusa, Niero, & Traverso, 2018; Korhonen, Nuur, Feldmann, & Birkie, 2018).

In this context, the Circular Economy (CE) may represent a valid alternative, as it would help to maintain products, components, and materials at their highest level of utility and value (Ingrao, Arcidiacono, Siracusa, Niero, & Traverso, 2021; Webster, 2020).

A sustainable CE involves the design and promotion of products that last and that can be reused, repaired, and remanufactured before being recycled. This aspect is a priority when implementing CE models, as it best retains the functional value of products, rather than just recovering the material or energy content and continuously making products anew. The CE, in fact, outlines how to reuse,

repair, and recycle items, thereby reducing waste and, overall, increasing sustainable manufacturing and consumption. In addition to this, the approach contributes to saving energy and helping to avoid irreversible environmental damage due to the extraction and usage of resources at a rate that exceeds the aforementioned capacity of the earth to renew them (EU, 2019).

The CE is, in fact, different from the linear economy, because it is essentially based upon slowing and closing resource loops: two features that can be considered to be complementary rather than alternatives to each other. In particular, slowing happens when long-life goods and product-life extension solutions are designed. Therefore, the utilisation time of products is extended and/or intensified, thereby contributing to the slowing down of resource flows. Whereas closing occurs when the loop between end-of-life and production is closed, with the consequence that post-use products are recirculated within the life cycle as zero-burden resources to produce secondary raw materials (Moraga et al., 2019).

In an eco-design-based CE, consumable products such as food, drinks, cosmetics, and detergents should be produced with the minimum impact on resources and should be consumed generating as little waste as possible. While meeting these requirements, actions should be taken to minimise the emissions into the environment and impacts on the climate across the whole life cycle (EU, 2019). According to the EU (EU, 2019), this would result in less usage of resources, less waste, more jobs in repair and recycling sectors, and monetary savings, while maintaining the services provided by products.

Products that are obtained from such CE-based production systems can be considered to be more sustainable than the conventional ones (EU, 2019). So, in the light of the aforementioned points, there is evidence of the potential of CE to contribute to enhancing the sustainability of products and services from a lifecycle perspective. This can be considered as one main reason why CE has been receiving a lot of attention from researchers, decision- and policy-makers, and managers (Hysa, Kruja, Rehman, & Laurenti, 2020). As a matter of fact, Geissdoerfer, Savaget, Bocken, and Hultink (2017) highlighted that the CE is a condition for sustainability, as it acts like a regenerative system that minimises material and energy inputs as well as emissions and wastes. It is, however, desirable that CEoriented measures are tested using tools like Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment (LCSA) and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) already in the design phase, so that the CE can be truly effective in making material and energy commodities holistically sustainable from a life-cycle perspective (Ingrao et al., 2021).

So, it is in the light of this understanding that CE and sustainability are intricately connected and feed off each other. It is this interaction leading to the manufacturing of sustainable products that this chapter wants to explore with a focus on the Italian and Polish strategies in this area, in line with the overall objective of the book project that this chapter is a part of.

After an in-depth analysis of the state of the art in the CE, the chapter includes a section dedicated to exploring the ways the application of CE measures can enable products to be made sustainably. At the end, in the second part of this chapter, CE strategies for sustainable product manufacturing are explored at the European level with a focus on those implemented by Poland and Italy.

Circular Economy: analysis of the state of the arts

The interest towards CE has led to a divergence in views on the methods of assessment and measurement of implementation of the CE and an overwhelming number of different definitions that currently dominate the specialised literature on the subject. This results in a lack of conceptual clarity and of any accepted definition of the CE, as has also been documented by several studies in the literature.

All of these definitions relevantly address the different facets of the CE, with the consequence of generating discrepancies.

However, what those definitions seem to have in common is the vision of the CE as a sustainable economic model where economic growth is decoupled from material consumption through the reduction and recirculation of natural resources (Corona et al., 2019; Ingrao et al., 2021). In the CE, goods at the end of their life cycle as well as the waste generated during the manufacturing and use/maintenance of those goods are in fact reutilised as zero-burden resources. The latter are utilised as material inputs in recycling processes for the production of secondary raw materials that, then, are manufactured into value-added commodities (Ingrao et al., 2018, 2021).

Recent reviews of the literature seeking to identify the key conceptual elements of the CE and their relationships to other concepts, like Sustainable Development, point to the CE as an alternative model of production and consumption and even a growth strategy that allows resource use to be decoupled from economic growth, thus contributing to Sustainable Development (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017). Therefore, both Sustainable Development (SD) and the CE have now become key concepts for creating a sustainable, low-carbon, resource-efficient, and competitive economy. The relationship between SD and the CE is confirmed by a research done by González-Ruiz, Botero-Botero, and Duque-Grisales (2018), who indicated eco-innovation, eco-design, and waste management as the main trends in CE research, as well as the relations of the CE to Sustainable Development. Cecchin, Salomone, Deutz, Raggi, and Cutaia (2021) and others add that the concept of the CE proposes a rebuilding of the production and consumption system into a regenerative system by closing the entry and exit cycles of the economy, which could help in the transition to a sustainable future. Thus, the concept of the CE follows an evolutionary path similar to that of SD, but at a much faster pace (Cecchin et al., 2021). It should be emphasised that integrating Sustainable Development and the CE with industrial activities should include changes in production processes with a view to minimising their impact on the environment. This involves the development of new ecological products and even the redesign of the business model, which has several environmental and socioeconomic benefits (Kallis, 2011). Despite numerous studies on the relationship between the CE and SD,

as noted by Millar, McLaughlin, and Börger (2019), it is still unclear how the CE promotes economic growth while protecting the environment and ensuring intra- and intergenerational social equality (Millar et al., 2019). Due to numerous doubts raised by authors in the literature on the subject, one can also find more critical voices regarding the CE, which questioned the potential attributed to the CE (Hobson, 2013; Lazarevic & Valve, 2017). The 2011 UNEP Report "Decoupling natural resource use and environmental impacts from economic growth" also reveals that related Sustainable Development concepts and approaches, such as industrial ecology (IE), eco-efficiency, and cleaner production (CP), have contributed to achieving relative but not absolute decoupling from production (UNEP, 2011). Also, according to Kiser (2016), economic growth clearly contradicts the concept of resource efficiency in the supply chain, because the goal of selling more materials and using fewer resources is an environmental paradox (Kiser, 2016). In addition to this, other authors have also questioned the thermodynamic parameters of the CE and emphasise the need to consider environmental impacts and resource consumption when implementing a CE strategy to avoid overestimating their benefits, which is not often done in practice (Bianchini, Rossi, & Pellegrini, 2019; Korhonen, Honkasalo, & Seppälä, 2018). Research by Zink and Geyer (2017) shows how separation can be weakened by the rebound effect (Zink & Geyer, 2017). The social consequences of implementing the CE, an often overlooked aspect in research to date, also need to be addressed (Murray, Skene, & Haynes, 2017; Sauvé, Bernard, & Sloan, 2016; Schulz, Hjaltadóttir, & Hild, 2019).

Sustainable products

Increasing pressure to adopt a more sustainable approach to both product design and manufacturing is one of the key challenges facing industries in the twentyfirst century. This situation is moreover influenced by the growing total number of products, the increasing diversity of products and their functions, new types of products being created as a result of innovation, global product turnover, and increasing product complexity (Thorpe, 2015). According to Garg (2015), the manufacturing sector accounts for almost half of the world's total energy consumption, which has doubled over the past 60 years. These are reasons why manufacturers are not only under enormous pressure to be competitive on the one hand through increased productivity, but, on the other hand, under enormous pressure to deliver more sustainable products (due to an increased awareness of environmental responsibility) as well.

Previous research combining the concept of Sustainable Development with products, however, focussed mainly on an ecological product, that is one that is beneficial for the environment (Bhardwaj, Garg, Ram, Gajpal, & Zheng, 2020; Biswas & Roy, 2015; Nuryakin & Maryati, 2020; Qiu, Jie, Wang, & Zhao, 2020; Sdrolia & Zarotiadis, 2019; Tezer & Bodur, 2021). According to Sdrolia (Sdrolia & Zarotiadis, 2019), there are around 50 definitions of green products. On the basis of these definitions, it can be concluded that ecological products aim

to protect or improve the condition of the environment by saving energy and/ or resources and limiting or eliminating the use of toxic agents, pollution, and waste (Ottman, Stafford, & Hartman, 2006). Undoubtedly, product research in the context of their environmental impact has made significant progress in explaining how companies can develop greener products that should allow companies to be successful in this area, although this is not always the case (Hofenk, van Birgelen, Bloemer, & Semeijn, 2019).

It is emphasised that products manufactured in the production process interact directly and indirectly with the society (employees, business owners, community, and customers) throughout their life cycle. Therefore, it is necessary to optimise not only the environmental impacts, but also the economic and social ones in an integrated, holistic approach to sustainability (Lin, Belis, & Kuo, 2019).

The concept of developing sustainable products is, in fact, evolving as a key element in cleaner production and in the CE. In response to the shift in environmental policy and legislation (through initiatives such as Integrated Product Policy and Extended Producer Responsibility for packaging cars and electronics), there is an increasing legal, market, and financial pressure on the manufacturing industry to develop sustainable products (Maxwell & van der Vorst, 2003). Since 2001, the European Commission has been putting emphasis on promoting its Integrated Product Policy (IPP) which, as defined by the European Commission, aims at supporting the development of environmental product innovations to achieve a broad reduction in all environmental impacts throughout a product's life cycle (Commission of the European Communities, 2001). It will be important to harness the Green Markets Policy Toolbox through greening on both the demand (consumption) and supply (product development) sides. The IPP is in line with the growing trend in environmentally advanced European countries, towards a product-oriented environmental policy (Charter, 2001).

Research into the definition of sustainable products shows a lack of understanding of the fact that our planet itself is not a sustainable system. Only by adopting this assumption can a sustainable product be defined as:

a product, which will give as little impact on the environment as possible during its life cycle. The life cycle in this simple definition includes extraction of raw material, production, use and final recycling (or deposition). The material in the product as well as the material (or element) used for producing energy is also included here.

(Ljungberg, 2007)

Whereas Shuaib et al. define a sustainable product through the prism of Sustainable Development as: "Sustainable products are those that provide environmental, societal, and economic benefits while protecting public health, welfare, and the environment over their full commercial cycle". The authors of this definition also point out that the design and production of sustainable products must be based on a comprehensive approach that simultaneously takes into account the economic, environmental, and social aspects of the TBL. To achieve this, you

6 Magdalena Wojnarowska et al.

need to focus on all phases of the product life cycle. Such a holistic approach also often requires the adoption of the 6Rs (reduction, reuse, recycling, recovery, redesign, and remanufacturing) which must be applied throughout the product life cycle to achieve a circular material flow (Shuaib et al., 2014).

According to (Ljungberg, 2007; Zhou, Yin, & Hu, 2009), in order to develop sustainable products, it is required to follow rules such as:

- Reducing the consumption of materials and energy in a product, including services, throughout its useful life;
- Reducing the emissions, dispersion, and toxin formation throughout the product life cycle;
- Increasing the amount of recyclable materials;
- Utilising renewable resources for production;
- Extending the useful life of the product;
- Minimising environmental impact throughout the product life cycle;
- Replacing products with services;
- Utilising "reverse logistics";
- Increasing the performance of the product during the use phase;
- Using materials with low environmental pollution;
- Limiting the use of rare materials;
- The choice of clean materials for the production process;
- Avoiding the generation of hazardous and toxic materials;
- The use of materials with low energy consumption.

In fact, it is extremely difficult to meet all sustainability demands throughout the entire life cycle (Anex & Lifset, 2014). Therefore, in practice, different types of sustainable products emphasise different aspects in relation to different stages of the life cycle. Assuming that a sustainable product is one that meets the challenges of Sustainable Development, that is generates ecological, economic, and social benefits, contributing to the protection of public health, welfare, and the environment throughout the entire life cycle, it can be concluded that it is a form of excellence, an ideal that manufacturers can constantly strive for, perfecting selected aspects of the product (Sanyé-Mengual et al., 2014).

A detailed analysis of selected instruments related to a sustainable product is presented in the following chapters, including:

- Eco-design;
- LCA;
- Management systems;
- Environmental labelling;
- Market contacts and product phases in the marketplace;
- Legislation and precautions;
- Cultural aspects;
- Fashion and trends.

A review of CE-oriented strategies on the European, Italian, and Polish scales for sustainable product manufacturing

The information reported here has been taken from the report titled "Circular economy strategies and roadmaps in Europe: Identifying synergies and the potential for cooperation and alliance building" developed by the European Economic and Social Committee (Salvatori, Holstein, & Böhme, 2019). The report reviewed 33 CE strategy documents in support of the European Circular Economy Stakeholder Platform (ECESP).

Strategies were documented to be more effective when the CE was addressed comprehensively and broad partnerships were included in the spirit of the five elements of Sustainable Development (i.e. planet, people, partnership, peace, and prosperity). The inclusiveness of partnerships takes into account the number and type of the different players in strategies and the ways and opportunities for interactions between them. In this regard, the report highlighted that CE strategies have different degrees of inclusiveness in terms of transversal tools and policies, sectors approached, and partners involved. Involvement is considered through specific objectives that depend upon the country making the strategy and its priorities through governance structures or through a combination of the two.

All strategies developed at the European level have aimed to further the transition to a sustainable CE model through a strategic plan that clearly defines objectives and desired outcomes and to include milestones at the end of key-step developments. The transition is addressed comprehensively by considering all of the main stages in value supply chains, namely production, consumption, waste management, secondary raw materials, and innovation and investments. To pick up on what has been said earlier in this regard, Salvatori et al. (2019) emphasised that comprehensiveness is a key added value in the reviewed strategies and so should be taken into consideration in the subsequent, new CE strategies and/or should be maintained in the strategies currently in existence that, however, will be improved in the future. In addition to this, all the reviewed strategy documents were found by Salvatori et al. (2019) to:

- Provide overarching frameworks for in-progress initiatives in different sectors, by different actors, and at different steps in the value chain or development;
- Provide a common objective for each provided activity;
- Describe ways and approaches for transitioning towards a sustainable CE model by defining tools and roles to make the transition clear and transparent for the stakeholders; and so
- Effectively contribute to inspiring other actors to get involved in the transition.

Differences were recorded by Salvatori et al. (2019) based upon the territorial context, as territories can have different opportunities and challenges in making the move to the CE, such as density, industrial clusters, and natural resources.

8 Magdalena Wojnarowska et al.

Strategies were found to follow the approach of closing the material loops in specific supply chains and, alternatively, that of focussing upon integrated, horizontal approaches.

In the light of this, Salvatori et al. (2019) categorised the strategies reviewed, making a distinction between integrated strategies, restricted strategies, and broader strategies with a clear set of priorities.

Integrated strategies, like the ones of Italy, Poland, and other EU countries, represent around 30% of all strategies reviewed. They are politically driven, generally top-down, and focussed on larger geographic scopes. They are typical of territories where the concept of the CE is relatively new to the public debate, as their aim is more to steer public opinion than to provide tools for implementing a full-fledged CE model.

Restricted strategies do not address a wide range of sectors but rather are restricted to only one sector. By contrast, broader strategies represent the major group of strategies in numeric terms, with 19 documents out of a total of 33, and are to be found at all territorial levels and at different levels of CE development.

Among the economic sectors that were analysed in the strategies reviewed, those which recurred the most frequently are manufacturing, construction, waste processing, and the production of foods and feeds.

In addition to this, horizontal themes were addressed to introduce new innovative concepts and practices that contribute to the enhancement of circularity in the aforementioned sectors. The themes which recurred most were found by Salvatori et al. (2019) to be repairing, reusing, and refurbishing; public procurement; design and eco-design; and urban planning and development.

Manufacturing is taken into consideration as it presents some of highest potential for circularisation due to the large quantities of materials consumed and of waste generated. The aim is to ensure that waste is "designed out" of products and that product and process design is done in ways that enable the recycling, recovery, and remanufacture of materials.

Another sector that was found by Salvatori et al. (2019) to be extensively considered in CE strategies is construction, mainly because it is the largest consumer of resources and generates huge amounts of heterogeneous waste. In this regard, ensuring circularity of material flows is one of the key features of the CE that can contribute to enhancing the sustainability of the waste management system.

In the conclusion to their report, Salvatori et al. (2019) highlighted that the reviewed strategies provided a wide range of approaches in many different sectors that can most benefit from application of the CE. Overall, they found those strategies to touch upon the key aspects of the CE and to provide a very good understanding of the challenges and ways forward.

The review report did, however, highlight that there is an urgent need for strategies to develop approaches that are inclusive not just with regard to the value chain but also to the range of partners that Salvatori et al. (2019) recommend should be the widest possible.

Like many other member states, Italy and Poland have also developed a strategy for introducing the concept of a CE to the domestic economy. The CE strategies that two governments have put together appear to have the features recommended by Salvatori et al. (2019); they are reviewed in the following two sections.

A focus on the Italian strategy

In 2017, the Italian government released the report titled "Towards a model of Circular Economy for Italy" (MiSE-MATTM, 2017), with the aim of providing a general framework on the principles of the CE and of defining the Italian strategic position on such an issue. This section is dedicated to reviewing and building upon the content of that report. The report is part of the process of implementation of the wider strategies that Italy has made for Sustainable Development by the Italian government and specifically contributes to defining objectives like efficient resource consumption and sustainable production and consumption.

In this regard, the "National Action Plan on Sustainable Production and Consumption", set out by Italian Law 221/2015, represents the essential point of departure and is also one of the effective tools available for implementing the national CE-oriented policies and strategies. Six macro-areas of intervention were addressed by the aforementioned Action Plan, as they were identified to form the base of the Italian production system and, also, identified to be highly burdening from an environmental point of view; they were as follows: small- and mediumsized enterprises (SMEs), production chains and districts, agriculture and food production, built environments, tourism, organised distribution, and sustainable consumption and behaviour.

This CE strategy framework was developed around the important challenge for Italy to adequately and effectively respond to the complex environmental and socio-economic dynamics, while maintaining the competitiveness of its production system. In this regard, the document highlighted the importance of making policies that are oriented towards sustainable innovation and, at the same time, increasing the competitiveness of the Italian production and manufacturing system. Therefore, Italy is required to initiate a paradigm shift that is based upon rethinking and redesigning the ways to consume and do business, taking it as the opportunity to develop new business models that maximise the value of "*Made in Italy*" and the role of SMEs.

In this regard, the report highlights that transitioning towards CE means culturally and structurally triggering a radical change that provides a profound revision of the Italian patterns of consumption to abandon the conventional, unsustainable linear model of the economy and establish a well-rooted trend in innovation.

An important part of the report was the one dedicated to an analysis of the Italian context, highlighting the importance of transforming necessities into opportunities. Key necessities were identified as improving both the efficiency and sustainability of resource consumption and waste management: the latter was identified by the Italian government as being central to the process of transitioning to a sustainable CE.

Opportunities are based upon designing products in a way that, when they reach the end of their useful life, they are treated as zero-burden resources to feed into downstream production cycles. From this perspective, Italy is a technologically advanced country, with a strong background in innovation and sustainability, which must necessarily move to adopt the current European vision of transition towards sustainable CE models by using opportunities to create and promote concrete initiatives.

As is known to be the case, the CE brings many environmental and social benefits and allows natural capital to be preserved by reducing pressure on resources and on land by reducing its use for the disposal of waste in landfills. This was highlighted in the report to be an issue of considerable importance for a country like Italy where the natural factor is actually one of the main levers of economic development, as shown by the growing demand for sustainable and cultural tourism prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

In the report, it was highlighted that, from an economic point of view, building a CE means stimulating the creativity of Italian entrepreneurs as a function of the economic value embedded in the reuse and recovery of materials that, in this way, never become waste. In this regard, the report puts due emphasis upon the need to rethink the concept of "waste" and states that one of the key challenges that the transition poses to all stakeholders, from politicians to citizens, is to consider what is now waste as an element from which value can be extracted, "*a brick for a new production cycle*".

In this regard, Italian SMEs are being called upon to invest effectively in research and development, with the aim of rethinking and changing their production models and of consolidating their presence in the global value chain. In addition to this, implementing and spreading the CE throughout the country would help to transform the current well-known problems of the Italian production and manufacturing system into opportunities for sustainable forms of innovation, improvement, and growth. According to the report, waste recycling and recovery in line with the principles of the CE to produce value-added material and energy commodities can help to make countries like Italy, which are poor in raw materials, less dependent upon foreign procurements, with lesser vulnerability to the volatility of market prices. The reduction of the dependence on foreign countries should be, however, coupled with the rationalisation of the production and consumption systems in order to optimise the costs of production activities with benefits for both businesses and citizens. This would increase the Italian competitiveness on the international scale, thanks to the higher quality at lower prices, and, to achieve that, the market for secondary raw materials needs to be developed and consolidated, as also recommended by Potting et al. (2017).

At the end, the importance for all CE-based actions to be measurable by indicators was highlighted in the report, as being essential to give substance to those actions to be pursued towards greater transparency for the market and for consumers, as well. From this perspective, the Italian government is making quite a lot of effort to identify suitable indicators that enable the circularity of the economy and the efficient usage of resources to be measured and monitored at the macro- and micro-level.

A focus on the Polish strategy

The Polish CE strategy was adopted by a resolution of the Council of Ministers in September 2019. Over 200 social and economic partners as well as representatives of central and local government administration participated in the development of the Circular Economy (CE) strategy for Poland. It should be emphasised that in the work on the strategy, existing experience related to the implementation of other CE-related concepts was used, such as Sustainable Development, green economy, or cleaner production. This procedure was deliberate, with the aim of achieving greater coherence of measures in the field of CE with measures in other areas of socio-economic development in Poland. As a result, the Circular Economy Road Map is one of the projects of the Strategy for Responsible Development, fitting into the overall vision of the country's development (Kuzincow, 2018).

Basically, it can be said that the Circular Economy Road Map, like that of Italy, is a document that contains a set of tools aimed at creating conditions for the implementation of a new economic model in Poland. The proposed activities relate primarily to analytical, conceptual, informational, promotional, and coordination tasks. The Polish Circular Economy Road Map is based upon the CE model, commonly used in the EU, and developed by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation, that is it assumes the existence of two biological and technical cycles (Webster, 2020).

The Polish Circular Economy Road Map consists of five chapters.

Chapter I "Sustainable Industrial Production" emphasises the important role of industry in the Polish economy and new opportunities for its development. It is noted that in Poland there is a great potential for improvement in the field of industrial waste, in particular from mining and quarrying activities, industrial processing, as well as energy generation and supply. Running a production activity that generates less and less waste as well as the management of as much industrial waste as possible from this activity in other production processes and in other sectors of the economy may significantly contribute to increasing the profitability of production in Poland and reducing its negative impact on the environment.

Chapter II "Sustainable Consumption" discusses actions aimed at consumers as part of the transformation towards a CE. Among them, attention is paid to ensuring the availability of information on repair and spare parts, better enforcement of warranties, eliminating false claims about environmental impact, and determining the maximum shelf life of a product without harming the consumer and the environment.

Chapter III "Bioeconomy" concerns the management of renewable resources (the biological cycle of the CE), which seems to have unexploited potential in Poland. The Circular Economy road map focuses, on the one hand, on general activities aimed at creating conditions for the development of the bioeconomy in Poland and, on the other hand, on activities related to the development of bioeconomy in selected areas, that is in the area of creating local value chains in industry and in the energy sector.

12 Magdalena Wojnarowska et al.

Chapter IV "New Business Models" indicates the possibilities of reorganising the ways of functioning of various market participants based on the idea of the CE. In this part of the Circular Economy Road Map, it mainly refers to business models of enterprises, understood as the sum of resources and activities that simultaneously serve to provide value for the customer and to "close the loop".

At the end, Chapter V concerns the implementation, monitoring, and financing of the CE. It should be emphasised that the concept of CE is firmly established in the country's strategic documents, including the SRD, the draft Productivity Strategy and the draft National Environmental Policy. As the basis for the country's development policy, these documents are, and will continue to be in the future, a reference point for directing the support system in the area of CE, including in particular the Cohesion Policy and the Common Agricultural Policy (Rada Ministrów, 2019).

In line with European practice, the goal of the Circular Economy Road Map is to indicate horizontal measures that would concern the largest possible segment of socio-economic life. It also prioritises areas whose development will make it possible to take advantage of the opportunities facing Poland and, at the same time, will address the currently existing or expected threats. Poland's priorities within the CE include innovation, strengthening cooperation between industry and the science sector, and the implementation of innovative solutions in the economy as a result; creating a European market for secondary raw materials in which their circulation is easier; ensuring high-quality secondary raw materials that result from sustainable production and consumption; and development of the service sector (Smol, Kulczycka, Czaplicka-Kotas, & Włóka, 2019).

Conclusion

One of the key factors determining Sustainable Development is the ability to associate the laws of ecology and economy in decision-making processes (Fernandes, Limont, & Bonino, 2020). It is essential that this process takes place at all institutional levels, both at the level of policy of states and enterprises and at that of households. Therefore, balancing economic goals with environmental and social goals is a big challenge not only for modern producers and consumers, but also for governments, social organisations, and other economic actors (Eisenmenger et al., 2020).

Environmental protection requirements have a significant impact on enterprises, including due to the applicable legal regulations that regulate it (Jose et al., 2020). However, environmental protection is perceived as a source of additional costs, because, for example, enterprises have to budget for the growing costs of using the environment and outlays for environmental protection in their budgets. Therefore, modern company management should perceive environmental protection as an integral part of the management process (Haldar, 2019).

To this end, it is necessary to change the current linear model of the economy into a sustainable circular one. The objective is to achieve the highest possible level of recovery and recycling of waste and then its re-management in production. Creating a CE model requires meeting certain conditions while promoting a policy based on renewable resources in natural processes.

The key task will also be to develop products focussed on the production of products and services that are safe for the environment. It is possible to implement this principle by giving products ecological features already at the design stage. It is therefore important to design in a way which allows the transfer of waste with certain properties back to the production process or for its use by other entities. At the design stage, it is also recommended to use one of the models of operation within the CE, that is the ReSOLVE model or the R strategy. The ReSOLVE model is implemented through six paths of action, that is regenerate, share, optimise, loop, virtualise, and exchange. The R strategy is to reduce the consumption of resources and materials throughout the entire life cycle. It allows for the formulation of a CE strategy while maintaining the basic function of the product. It is therefore important to stimulate innovation in the field of environmentally safer products, not only through the development of cleaner technologies but also cleaner products through the dissemination of a life-cycle approach.

It is also worth returning to re-examine new phenomena in the sphere of consumption. Not only the state but also other market participants, including consumers (Tunn, Bocken, Van Den Hende, & Schoormans, 2018), must undertake activities with the aim, inter alia, of promoting environmental protection. That is why it is so important to raise environmental awareness and shape a modern image of effective economic processes based on ethical and ecological components (Nikolaou, Tsalis, & Evangelinos, 2018). The condition for the functioning of the CE model is reliable knowledge resulting from the high environmental awareness of all market participants. One of the key challenges, therefore, is to develop a system that not only educates consumers about the environmental impact of products throughout their life cycle, but also, at the same time, gives producers the opportunity to inform consumers about the benefits of their products. One such solution is eco-labelling, which is considered to be one of the key tools of consumer education in the field of environmentally friendly products (Bertrandias & Bernard, 2017; Buelow & Lewis, 2010; Martino, Nanere, & Dsouza, 2019). One of the undoubted benefits of buying organic products is that it reduces the negative impact of humanity on the environment and thus helps us achieve the main goals of sustainable production and consumption. It depends, however, on the increased environmental awareness of consumers. Consequently, environmental education is a key communication and information tool, and its aim should be to make the consumer able to consciously interpret the eco-label and make the right product choices based on it. If this condition is not met, an overabundance of information from advertising and marketing campaigns will lead to target audience members misinterpreting messages from senders. However, if they are to have a positive effect, the ecolabel must be scientifically standardised and the environmental awareness of consumers raised.

Another challenge is to implement an effective strategy of replacing traditional products with sustainable products. A key element of the strategy should be to set the prices of sustainable products at the right level. The customer will be interested in such a product if he or she experiences a direct financial benefit. Preferential prices for this type of products can be achieved, for example, through differentiated taxation (applying a reduced VAT tax on biodegradable products). Therefore, it is important to create and support markets for more environmentally friendly products using, for example, preferential pricing and tax policies, a well-functioning environmental labelling system, and an administratively strong system of standardisation.

References

- Anex, R., & Lifset, R. (2014). Life cycle assessment a guide to approaches, experiences and information sources. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 18.
- Bertrandias, L., & Bernard, Y. (2017). The environmental labelling rollout of consumer goods by public authorities: Analysis of and lessons learned from the French case. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 161, 688–697. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.179
- Bhardwaj, A. K., Garg, A., Ram, S., Gajpal, Y., & Zheng, C. (2020). Research trends in green product for environment: A bibliometric perspective. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. Doi:10.3390/ijerph17228469
- Bianchini, A., Rossi, J., & Pellegrini, M. (2019). Overcoming the main barriers of Circular Economy implementation through a new visualization tool for circular business models. *Sustainability*. doi:10.3390/su11236614
- Biswas, A., & Roy, M. (2015). Green products: An exploratory study on the consumer behaviour in emerging economies of the East. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.09.075
- Buelow, S., & Lewis, H. (2010). The role of labels in directing consumer packaging waste. Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal, 21(1477–7835), 198–213. doi:10.1108/14777831011025544
- Cecchin, A., Salomone, R., Deutz, P., Raggi, A., & Cutaia, L. (2021). What is in a name? The rising star of the Circular Economy as a resource-related concept for sustainable development. *Circular Economy and Sustainability*. doi:10.1007/s43615-021-00021-4
- Charter, M. (2001). Integrated product policy (IPP) and eco-product development (EPD). Proceedings Second International Symposium on Environmentally Conscious Design and Inverse Manufacturing, 672–677. doi:10.1109/ECODIM.2001.992445
- Commission of the European Communities. (2001). *Green paper on integrated product policy*. Brussels: Author.
- Corona, B., Shen, L., Reike, D., Rosales Carreón, J., & Worrell, E. (2019). Towards sustainable development through the Circular Economy – a review and critical assessment on current circularity metrics. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *151*, 104498. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104498
- Eisenmenger, N., Pichler, M., Krenmayr, N., Noll, D., Plank, B., Schalmann, E., Theres, M., & Simone, W. (2020). The sustainable development goals prioritize economic growth over sustainable resource use: A critical reflection on the SDGs from a socio-ecological perspective. *Sustainability Science, Sachs, 2012.* doi:10.1007/s11625-020-00813-x
- European Commission (EU). (2019). Sustainable products in a Circular Economy towards an EU product policy framework contributing to the circular economy. SWD(2019) 92 final. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/documents-register/detail?ref= SWD(2019)91&lang=en

- Fernandes, V., Limont, M., & Bonino, W. (2020, January). Sustainable development assessment from a capitals perspective: Analytical structure and indicator selection criteria. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 260, 110147. Doi:10.1016/j.jenvman. 2020.110147
- Garg, A. (2015). Green marketing for sustainable development: An industry perspective. *Sustainable Development*, 23(5), 301–316. Doi:10.1002/sd.1592
- Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The Circular Economy a new sustainability paradigm? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, 757–768. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048
- González-Ruiz, J. D., Botero-Botero, S., & Duque-Grisales, E. (2018). Financial ecoinnovation as a mechanism for fostering the development of sustainable infrastructure systems. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*. Doi:10.3390/su10124463
- Haldar, S. (2019). Towards a conceptual understanding of sustainability driven entrepreneurship. Corporate Social Responsibility & Environmental Management, 26(6), 1157–1170. Doi:10.1002/csr.1763
- Hobson, K. (2013). "Weak" or "strong" sustainable consumption? Efficiency, degrowth, and the 10 year framework of programmes. *Environment and Planning C: Government* and Policy, 31(6), 1082–1098. Doi:10.1068/c12279
- Hofenk, D., van Birgelen, M., Bloemer, J., & Semeijn, J. (2019). How and when retailers' sustainability efforts translate into positive consumer responses: The interplay between personal and social factors. *Journal of Business Ethics*. Doi:10.1007/s10551-017-3616-1
- Hysa, E., Kruja, A., Rehman, N. U., & Laurenti, R. (2020). Circular economy innovation and environmental sustainability impact on economic growth: An integrated model for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 12(12), 4831. Doi:10.3390/su12124831
- Ingrao, C., Arcidiacono, C., Siracusa, V., Niero, M., & Traverso, M. (2021). Life cycle sustainability analysis of resource recovery from waste management systems in a Circular Economy perspective key findings from this special issue. *Resources*, 10(4), 32. Doi:10.3390/resources10040032
- Ingrao, C., Faccilongo, N., Di Gioia, L., & Messineo, A. (2018). Food waste recovery into energy in a Circular Economy perspective: A comprehensive review of aspects related to plant operation and environmental assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 184, 869–892. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.267
- Jose, C., Jabbour, C., Seuring, S., Beatriz, A., Sousa, L. De, Jugend, D., . . . Colucci, W. (2020). Stakeholders, innovative business models for the Circular Economy and sustainable performance of firms in an emerging economy facing institutional voids. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 264, 110416. Doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110416
- Kallis, G. (2011). In defence of degrowth. *Ecological Economics*, 70(5), 873–880. Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.12.007
- Kiser, B. (2016). Getting the circulation going. Nature, 531.
- Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A., & Seppälä, J. (2018). Circular economy: The concept and its limitations. *Ecological Economics*. Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.041
- Korhonen, J., Nuur, C., Feldmann, A., & Birkie, S. E. (2018). Circular economy as an essentially contested concept. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 175, 544–552. Doi:10.1016/j. jclepro.2017.12.111
- Kuzincow, J. (2018). Packaging spectrum: Mapa drogowa transformacji w kierunku gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Opakowanie. Doi:10.15199/42.2018.2.2
- Lazarevic, D., & Valve, H. (2017). Narrating expectations for the Circular Economy: Towards a common and contested European transition. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 31, 60–69. Doi:10.1016/j.erss.2017.05.006

16 Magdalena Wojnarowska et al.

- Lin, C. J., Belis, T. T., & Kuo, T. C. (2019). Ergonomics-based factors or criteria for the evaluation of sustainable product manufacturing. *Sustainability*, 11(18), 4955. Doi:10.3390/su11184955
- Ljungberg, L. Y. (2007). Materials selection and design for development of sustainable products. *Materials & Design*, *28*(2), 466–479. Doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2005.09.006
- Martino, J. D., Nanere, M. G., & Dsouza, C. (2019). The effect of pro-environmental attitudes and eco-labelling information on green purchasing decisions in Australia. *Journal* of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing, 1–25. Doi:10.1080/10495142.2019.1589621
- Maxwell, D., & van der Vorst, R. (2003). Developing sustainable products and services. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 11(8), 883–895. Doi:10.1016/S0959-6526(02)00164-6
- Millar, N., McLaughlin, E., & Börger, T. (2019). The Circular Economy: Swings and roundabouts? *Ecological Economics*, 158, 11–19. Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.12.012
- Ministero dello Sviluppo Economico (MiSE) Ministero dell'ambiente e della tutela del territorio e del mare (MATTM). (2017). *Towards amodelof Circular Economy for Italy–overview and strategic framework*. Retrieved from https://circulareconomy.europa.eu/platform/en/ strategies/towards-model-Circular-Economy-italy-overview-and-strategic-framework
- Moraga, G., Huysveld, S., Mathieux, F., Blengini, G. A., Alaerts, L., Van Acker, K. . . . Dewulf, J. (2019). Circular economy indicators: What do they measure? *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 146, 452–461. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.03.045
- Murray, A., Skene, K., & Haynes, K. (2017). The circular economy: An interdisciplinary exploration of the concept and application in a global context. *Journal of Business Ethics*. Doi:10.1007/s10551-015-2693-2
- Nikolaou, I. E., Tsalis, T. A., & Evangelinos, K. I. (2018). A framework to measure corporate sustainability performance: A strong sustainability-based view of firm. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 18, 1–18. Doi:10.1016/j.spc.2018.10.004
- Nuryakin, N., & Maryati, T. (2020). Green product competitiveness and green product success. Why and how does mediating affect green innovation performance? *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*. Doi:10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4(33)
- Ottman, J. A., Stafford, E. R., & Hartman, C. L. (2006). Avoiding green marketing myopia: Ways to improve consumer appeal for environmentally preferable products. *Environment*. Doi:10.3200/ENVT.48.5.22-36
- Potting, J., Hekkert, M., Worrell, E., & Hanemaaijer, A. (2017). Circular economy: Measuring innovation in the product chain. Retrieved from https://www.pbl.nl/sites/ default/files/downloads/pbl-2016-circular-economy-measuring-innovation-in-productchains-2544.pdf
- Qiu, L., Jie, X., Wang, Y., & Zhao, M. (2020). Green product innovation, green dynamic capability, and competitive advantage: Evidence from Chinese manufacturing enterprises. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. Doi:10.1002/ csr.1780
- Rada Ministrów. (2019). Mapa Drogowa: Transformacji w kierunku gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Retrieved from https://www.gov.pl/web/rozwoj-technologia/radaministrow-przyjela-projekt-mapy-drogowej-goz
- Salvatori, G., Holstein, F., & Böhme, K. (2019). Circular economy strategies and roadmaps in Europe: Identifying synergies and the potential for cooperation and alliance building. *European Economic and Social Committee*. Doi:10.2864/554946
- Sanyé-Mengual, E., Lozano, R. G., Farreny, R., Oliver-Solà, J., Gasol, C. M., & Rieradevall, J. (2014). *Introduction to the eco-design methodology and the role of product carbon footprint* (pp. 1–24). Singapore: Springer. Doi:10.1007/978-981-4560-41-2_1

- Sauvé, S., Bernard, S., & Sloan, P. (2016). Environmental sciences, sustainable development and circular economy: Alternative concepts for trans-disciplinary research. *Envi*ronmental Development, 17, 48–56. Doi:10.1016/j.envdev.2015.09.002
- Schulz, C., Hjaltadóttir, R. E., & Hild, P. (2019). Practising circles: Studying institutional change and circular economy practices. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, 117749. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117749
- Sdrolia, E., & Zarotiadis, G. (2019). A comprehensive review for green product term: From definition to evaluation. *Journal of Economic Surveys*. Doi:10.1111/joes.12268
- Shuaib, M., Seevers, D., Zhang, X., Badurdeen, F., Rouch, K. E., & Jawahir, I. S. (2014). Product sustainability index (ProdSI). *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 18(4), 491–507. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12179
- Smol, M., Kulczycka, J., Czaplicka-Kotas, A., & Włóka, D. (2019). Zarządzanie i monitorowanie gospodarki odpadami komunalnymi w Polsce w kontekście realizacji gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym (GOZ). Zeszyty Naukowe Instytutu Gospodarki Surowcami Mineralnymi Polskiej Akademii Nauk. Retrieve d from http://yadda.icm.edu.pl/baztech/ element/bwmeta1.element.baztech-bf5854ef-cec1-4dbf-bc30-126bda36d51c
- Tezer, A., & Bodur, H. O. (2021). The green consumption effect: How using green products improves consumption experience. *Journal of Consumer Research*. doi:10.1093/JCR/ UCZ045
- Thorpe, A. (2015). *Sustainable consumption and production: A handbook for policymakers* (Global ed.). Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme.
- Tunn, V. S. C., Bocken, N. M. P., Van Den Hende, E. A., & Schoormans, J. P. L. (2018). Business models for sustainable consumption in the circular economy: An expert study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.290
- UNEP. (2011). Decoupling natural resource use and environmental impacts from economic growth. In *International resource panel*. Nairobi, Kenya: Author.
- Venkata Mohan, S., Modestra, J. A., Amulya, K., Butti, S. K., & Velvizhi, G. (2016). A circular bioeconomy with biobased products from CO2 sequestration. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 34(6), 506–519. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.02.012
- Webster, K. (2020). *The circular economy, a wealth of flows*. Cowes: Ellen MacArthur Foundation.
- Zhou, C. C., Yin, G. F., & Hu, X. B. (2009). Multi-objective optimization of material selection for sustainable products: Artificial neural networks and genetic algorithm approach. *Materials & Design*, 30(4), 1209–1215. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2008.06.006
- Zink, T., & Geyer, R. (2017). Circular economy rebound. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 21(3), 593–602. doi:10.1111/jiec.12545

Characteristics of sustainable products

Anex, R. , & Lifset, R. (2014). Life cycle assessment – a guide to approaches, experiences and information sources. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 18.

Bertrandias, L., & Bernard, Y. (2017). The environmental labelling rollout of consumer goods by public authorities: Analysis of and lessons learned from the French case. Journal of Cleaner Production, 161, 688–697. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.179

Bhardwaj, A. K., Garg, A., Ram, S., Gajpal, Y., & Zheng, C. (2020). Research trends in green product for environment: A bibliometric perspective. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. Doi:10.3390/ijerph17228469

Bianchini, A., Rossi, J., & Pellegrini, M. (2019). Overcoming the main barriers of Circular Economy implementation through a new visualization tool for circular business models. Sustainability. Doi:10.3390/su11236614

Biswas, A., & Roy, M. (2015). Green products: An exploratory study on the consumer behaviour in emerging economies of the East. Journal of Cleaner Production. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.09.075

Buelow, S., & Lewis, H. (2010). The role of labels in directing consumer packaging waste. Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal, 21(1477–7835), 198–213. Doi:10.1108/14777831011025544

Cecchin, A. , Salomone, R. , Deutz, P. , Raggi, A. , & Cutaia, L. (2021). What is in a name? The rising star of the Circular Economy as a resource-related concept for sustainable development. Circular Economy and Sustainability. Doi:10.1007/s43615-021-00021-4

Charter, M. (2001). Integrated product policy (IPP) and eco-product development (EPD). Proceedings Second International Symposium on Environmentally Conscious Design and Inverse Manufacturing, 672–677. Doi:10.1109/ECODIM.2001.992445

Commission of the European Communities . (2001). Green paper on integrated product policy. Brussels: Author.

Corona, B., Shen, L., Reike, D., Rosales Carreón, J., & Worrell, E. (2019). Towards sustainable development through the Circular Economy – a review and critical assessment on current circularity metrics. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 151, 104498. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104498

Eisenmenger, N., Pichler, M., Krenmayr, N., Noll, D., Plank, B., Schalmann, E., Theres, M., & Simone, W. (2020). The sustainable development goals prioritize economic growth over sustainable resource use: A critical reflection on the SDGs from a socio-ecological perspective. Sustainability Science, Sachs, 2012. Doi:10.1007/s11625-020-00813-x

European Commission (EU) . (2019). Sustainable products in a Circular Economy – towards an EU product policy framework contributing to the circular economy. SWD(2019) 92 final. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/documents-

register/detail?ref=SWD(2019)91&lang=en

Fernandes, V., Limont, M., & Bonino, W. (2020, January). Sustainable development assessment from a capitals perspective: Analytical structure and indicator selection criteria. Journal of Environmental Management, 260, 110147. Doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110147 Garg, A. (2015). Green marketing for sustainable development: An industry perspective. Sustainable Development, 23(5), 301–316. Doi:10.1002/sd.1592

Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The Circular Economy – a new sustainability paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production, 143, 757–768. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048

González-Ruiz, J. D., Botero-Botero, S., & Duque-Grisales, E. (2018). Financial eco-innovation as a mechanism for fostering the development of sustainable infrastructure systems. Sustainability (Switzerland). Doi:10.3390/su10124463

Haldar, S. (2019). Towards a conceptual understanding of sustainability – driven entrepreneurship. Corporate Social Responsibility & Environmental Management, 26(6), 1157–1170. Doi:10.1002/csr.1763

Hobson, K. (2013). "Weak" or "strong" sustainable consumption? Efficiency, degrowth, and the 10 year framework of programmes. Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy, 31(6), 1082–1098. Doi:10.1068/c12279

Hofenk, D., van Birgelen, M., Bloemer, J., & Semeijn, J. (2019). How and when retailers' sustainability efforts translate into positive consumer responses: The interplay between personal and social factors. Journal of Business Ethics. Doi:10.1007/s10551-017-3616-1

Hysa, E., Kruja, A., Rehman, N. U., & Laurenti, R. (2020). Circular economy innovation and environmental sustainability impact on economic growth: An integrated model for sustainable development. Sustainability, 12(12), 4831. Doi:10.3390/su12124831

Ingrao, C. , Arcidiacono, C. , Siracusa, V. , Niero, M. , & Traverso, M. (2021). Life cycle sustainability analysis of resource recovery from waste management systems in a Circular Economy perspective key findings from this special issue. Resources, 10(4), 32. Doi:10.3390/resources10040032

Ingrao, C., Faccilongo, N., Di Gioia, L., & Messineo, A. (2018). Food waste recovery into energy in a Circular Economy perspective: A comprehensive review of aspects related to plant operation and environmental assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 184, 869–892. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.267

Jose, C., Jabbour, C., Seuring, S., Beatriz, A., Sousa, L. De, Jugend, D., ... Colucci, W. (2020). Stakeholders, innovative business models for the Circular Economy and sustainable performance of firms in an emerging economy facing institutional voids. Journal of Environmental Management, 264, 110416. Doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110416 Kallis, G. (2011). In defence of degrowth. Ecological Economics, 70(5), 873–880.

Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.12.007

Kiser, B. (2016). Getting the circulation going. Nature, 531.

Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A., & Seppälä, J. (2018). Circular economy: The concept and its limitations. Ecological Economics. Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.041

Korhonen, J., Nuur, C., Feldmann, A., & Birkie, S. E. (2018). Circular economy as an essentially contested concept. Journal of Cleaner Production, 175, 544–552. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.111

Kuzincow, J. (2018). Packaging spectrum: Mapa drogowa transformacji w kierunku gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Opakowanie. Doi:10.15199/42.2018.2.2

Lazarevic, D., & Valve, H. (2017). Narrating expectations for the Circular Economy: Towards a common and contested European transition. Energy Research & Social Science, 31, 60–69. Doi:10.1016/j.erss.2017.05.006

Lin, C. J., Belis, T. T., & Kuo, T. C. (2019). Ergonomics-based factors or criteria for the evaluation of sustainable product manufacturing. Sustainability, 11(18), 4955. Doi:10.3390/su11184955

Ljungberg, L. Y. (2007). Materials selection and design for development of sustainable products. Materials & Design, 28(2), 466–479. Doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2005.09.006

Martino, J. D., Nanere, M. G., & Dsouza, C. (2019). The effect of pro-environmental attitudes and eco-labelling information on green purchasing decisions in Australia. Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing, 1–25. Doi:10.1080/10495142.2019.1589621

Maxwell, D., & van der Vorst, R. (2003). Developing sustainable products and services. Journal of Cleaner Production, 11(8), 883–895. Doi:10.1016/S0959-6526(02)00164-6

Millar, N. , McLaughlin, E. , & Börger, T. (2019). The Circular Economy: Swings and roundabouts? Ecological Economics, 158, 11–19. Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.12.012 Ministero dello Sviluppo Economico (MiSE) – Ministero dell'ambiente e della tutela del territorio e del mare (MATTM) . (2017). Towards a model of Circular Economy for Italy – overview and strategic framework. Retrieved from

https://circulareconomy.europa.eu/platform/en/strategies/towards-model-Circular-Economyitaly-overview-and-strategic-framework

Moraga, G., Huysveld, S., Mathieux, F., Blengini, G. A., Alaerts, L., Van Acker, K., Dewulf, J. (2019). Circular economy indicators: What do they measure? Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 146, 452–461. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.03.045

Murray, A., Skene, K., & Haynes, K. (2017). The circular economy: An interdisciplinary exploration of the concept and application in a global context. Journal of Business Ethics. Doi:10.1007/s10551-015-2693-2

Nikolaou, I. E., Tsalis, T. A., & Evangelinos, K. I. (2018). A framework to measure corporate sustainability performance: A strong sustainability-based view of firm. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 18, 1–18. Doi:10.1016/j.spc.2018.10.004

Nuryakin, N., & Maryati, T. (2020). Green product competitiveness and green product success. Why and how does mediating affect green innovation performance? Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues. Doi:10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4(33)

Ottman, J. A., Stafford, E. R., & Hartman, C. L. (2006). Avoiding green marketing myopia: Ways to improve consumer appeal for environmentally preferable products. Environment. Doi:10.3200/ENVT.48.5.22-36

Potting, J. , Hekkert, M. , Worrell, E. , & Hanemaaijer, A. (2017). Circular economy: Measuring innovation in the product chain. Retrieved from

https://www.pbl.nl/sites/default/files/downloads/pbl-2016-circular-economy-measuring-innovation-in-product-chains-2544.pdf

Qiu, L., Jie, X., Wang, Y., & Zhao, M. (2020). Green product innovation, green dynamic capability, and competitive advantage: Evidence from Chinese manufacturing enterprises. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management. Doi:10.1002/csr.1780 Rada Ministrów . (2019). Mapa Drogowa: Transformacji w kierunku gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Retrieved from https://www.gov.pl/web/rozwoj-technologia/rada-ministrow-przyjela-projekt-mapy-drogowej-goz

Salvatori, G., Holstein, F., & Böhme, K. (2019). Circular economy strategies and roadmaps in Europe: Identifying synergies and the potential for cooperation and alliance building. European Economic and Social Committee. Doi:10.2864/554946

Sanyé-Mengual, E., Lozano, R. G., Farreny, R., Oliver-Solà, J., Gasol, C. M., & Rieradevall, J. (2014). Introduction to the eco-design methodology and the role of product carbon footprint (pp. 1–24). Singapore: Springer. Doi:10.1007/978-981-4560-41-2_1

Sauvé, S., Bernard, S., & Sloan, P. (2016). Environmental sciences, sustainable development and circular economy: Alternative concepts for trans-disciplinary research. Environmental Development, 17, 48–56. Doi:10.1016/j.envdev.2015.09.002

Schulz, C., Hjaltadóttir, R. E., & Hild, P. (2019). Practising circles: Studying institutional change and circular economy practices. Journal of Cleaner Production, 237, 117749. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117749

Sdrolia, E., & Zarotiadis, G. (2019). A comprehensive review for green product term: From definition to evaluation. Journal of Economic Surveys. Doi:10.1111/joes.12268

Shuaib, M., Seevers, D., Zhang, X., Badurdeen, F., Rouch, K. E., & Jawahir, I. S. (2014). Product sustainability index (ProdSI). Journal of Industrial Ecology, 18(4), 491–507. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12179

Smol, M., Kulczycka, J., Czaplicka-Kotas, A., & Włóka, D. (2019). Zarządzanie i monitorowanie gospodarki odpadami komunalnymi w Polsce w kontekście realizacji gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym (GOZ). Zeszyty Naukowe Instytutu Gospodarki Surowcami Mineralnymi Polskiej Akademii Nauk. Retrieve d from

http://yadda.icm.edu.pl/baztech/element/bwmeta1.element.baztech-bf5854ef-cec1-4dbf-bc30-126bda36d51c

Tezer, A., & Bodur, H. O. (2021). The green consumption effect: How using green products improves consumption experience. Journal of Consumer Research. Doi:10.1093/JCR/UCZ045 Thorpe, A. (2015). Sustainable consumption and production: A handbook for policymakers (Global ed.). Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme.

Tunn, V. S. C., Bocken, N. M. P., Van Den Hende, E. A., & Schoormans, J. P. L. (2018). Business models for sustainable consumption in the circular economy: An expert study. Journal of Cleaner Production. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.290

UNEP . (2011). Decoupling natural resource use and environmental impacts from economic growth. In International resource panel. Nairobi, Kenya: Author.

Venkata Mohan, S., Modestra, J. A., Amulya, K., Butti, S. K., & Velvizhi, G. (2016). A circular bioeconomy with biobased products from CO2 sequestration. Trends in Biotechnology, 34(6), 506–519. Doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2016.02.012

Webster, K. (2020). The circular economy, a wealth of flows. Cowes: Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

Zhou, C. C., Yin, G. F., & Hu, X. B. (2009). Multi-objective optimization of material selection for sustainable products: Artificial neural networks and genetic algorithm approach. Materials & Design, 30(4), 1209–1215. Doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2008.06.006

Zink, T. , & Geyer, R. (2017). Circular economy rebound. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 21(3), 593–602. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12545

Challenges of eco-design of integrated products

Alonso, G. M. (2006). La Norma de ecodiseño UNE1503001, CONAMA, Congreso Nacional del Medio Ambiente, en Los retos del desarrollo sostenible en España. Madrid: CONAMA. Bhamra, T. A. (2004). Ecodesign: the search for new strategies in product development.

Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B, 218, 557–569.

Borchardt, M., Wendt, M. H., Pereira, G. M., & Sellitto, M. A. (2011). Redesign of a component based on ecodesign practices: Environmental impact and cost reduction achievements. Journal of Cleaner Production, 19, 49–57.

Bracke, S. , Yamada, S. , Kinoshita, Y. , Inoue, M. , & Yamada, T. (2017). Decision making within the conceptual design phase of eco-friendly products. Procedia Manufacturing, 8, 463–470.

Brezet, H., & van Hemel, C. (1997). Ecodesign: A promising approach to sustainable production and consumption. France: United Nations Environment Programme, Industry and Environment, Cleaner Production.

Byggeth, S., & Hochschorner, E. (2016). Handling tradeoffs in ecodesign tools for sustainable product development and procurement. Journal of Cleaner Production, 14(15–16), 1420–1430. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2005.03.024

Cholewa-Wójcik, A. (2018). Opakowanie i jego rola w projektowaniu produktu zintegrowanego w aspekcie potrzeb i wymagań konsumentów. Kraków: Wydawnictwo PTTŻ.

Civancik-Uslu, D., Puig, R., Voigt, S., Walter, D., & Fullana-Palmer, P. (2019). Improving the production chain with LCA and eco-design: Application to cosmetic packaging. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 151.

Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament – Integrated Product Policy – Building on Environmental Life-Cycle Thinking COM/2003/0302.

Commission Recommendation of April 9, 2013 on the use of common methods to measure and communicate the life cycle environmental performance of products and organisations, OJ L 124, 4.5.2013, pp. 1–210.

Commission Regulation (EU) No 548/2014 of May 21, 2014 on implementing Directive 2009/125/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to small, medium and large power transformers, OJ L 152, 22.5.2014, pp. 1–15.

Cor, E., & Zwolinski, P. (2015). A protocol to address user behavior in the eco-design of consumer products. Journal of Mechanical Design, 137(7).

Directive 2009/125/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of October 21, 2009 establishing a framework for the setting of ecodesign requirements for energy-related product, OJ L 285, 31.10.2009, pp. 10–35.

Dostajni, E. (2018, September). Recycling-oriented eco-design methodology based on decentralised artificial intelligence. Management and Production Engineering Review, 9(3), 79–89.

Eco Design of Plastic Packaging Round Table and the Management Guidelines . (2019). Bad Homburg, German association for plastics packagings and films. Retrieved from https://ecodesign-packaging.org/wp-

content/uploads/2019/10/ecodesign_core_guidelines_online.pdf

Ekoprojektowanie . Nowe spojrzenie na biznes, 2017. Circular Economy. Zamykamy obieg! Cykl warsztatów dla biznesu i jego otoczenia. Centrum UNEP/GRID-Warszawa.

EN ISO 14006:2020 Environmental management systems – guidelines for incorporating ecodesign.

EN 16524:2020 Mechanical products – methodology for reduction of environmental impacts in product design and development.

EN 50645:2017 Ecodesign requirements for small power transformers.

European Innovation Scoreboard . (2020). European Commission, publications office of the European Union. Luxembourg: Author.

Foschi, E., Zanni, S., & Bonoli, A. (2020). Combining eco-design and LCA as decision-making process to prevent plastics in packaging application. Sustainability, 12(22).

Gavrilescu, M., Campean, T., & Gavrilescu, D. (2018). Extending production waste life cycle and energy saving by eco-innovation and eco-design: The case of packaging manufacturing. In I. Visa & A. Duta (Eds.), Nearly zero energy communities (pp. 611–631). Cham: Springer. Graedel, T. E., & Allenby, B. R. (1995). Industrial ecology. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall. IEC 61800-9-1:2017. Adjustable speed electrical power drive systems – Part 9–1: Ecodesign for power drive systems, motor starters, power electronics and their driven applications – – General requirements for setting energy efficiency standards for power driven equipment using the extended product approach (EPA) and semi analytic model (SAM). Retrieved from https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/clc/712bb10b-e932-4e4d-93d3-2f819f5c9990/en-61800-9-1-2017

Karlsson, M. (1997). Green concurrent engineering: Assuring environmental performance in product development (diss.), Lund University, Lund.

Ketelsen, M. , Janssen, M. , & Hamm, U. (2020). Consumers' response to environmentallyfriendly food packaging – a systematic review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 254.

Komeijani, M., Ryen, E., & Babbitt, C. (2016). Bridging the gap between eco-design and the human thinking system. Challenges, 7(1), 5.

Le Pochat, S. , Yannou-Le Bris, G. , & Froelichm, D. (2007). Integrating ecodesign by conducting changes in SMEs. Journal of Cleaner Production, 15(7), 671–680.

Maccioni, L. , Borgianni, Y. , & Pigosso, D. (2019). Can the choice of eco-design principles affect products' success? Design Science, 5, E25. Doi:10.1017/dsj.2019.24

Maccioni, L., Borgianni, Y., Pigosso, D., & McAloone, T. (2020). Are eco-design strategies implemented in products? A study on the agreement level of independent observers. Proceedings of the Design Society: DESIGN Conference, 1, 2039–2048.

MacDonald, E., & She, J. (2015). Seven cognitive concepts for successful eco-design. Journal of Cleaner Production, 92, 23–36.

Magnier, R., & Crié, D. (2015). Communicating packaging eco-friendliness: An exploration of consumers' perceptions of eco-designed packaging. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 43(4–5), 350–366.

Meinders, H. (1997). Point of no return: Philips EcoDesign guidelines, Philips Electronics N.V. The Netherlands, Eindhoven: Corporate Environmental & Energy Office.

Mendoza, J., Sharmina, M., Gallego-Schmid, A., Heyes, G., & Azapagic, A. (2017). Integrating backcasting and eco-design for the circular economy: The BECE framework. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 21, 526–544.

Molina-Besch, K., & Pålsson, H. (2020). A simplified environmental evaluation tool for food packaging to support decision-making in packaging development. Packaging Technology & Science, 33, 141–157.

Paparoidamis, N., Tran, T., Leonidou, L., & Zeri, A. (2019). Being innovative while being green: An experimental inquiry into how consumers respond to eco-innovative product designs. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 36, 824–847.

Platcheck, E. R., Schaeffer, L., Kindlein, W., & Candido, L. H. A. (2008). Methodology of ecodesign for the development of more sustainable electro-electronic equipment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 16, 75–86.

Plouffe, S. , Lanoie, P. , Berneman, C. , & Vernier, M. F. (2011). Economic benefits tied to ecodesign. Journal of Cleaner Production, 19(6–7), 573–579.

Potting, J. , Hekkert, M. , Worrell, E. , & Hanemaaijer, A. (2017). Circular economy: Measuring innovation in the product chain. The Hauge: PBL – Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency.

Schmidt-Bleek, F. (1998). Ecodesign, from the product to the service fulfillment machine (Ökodesign – Vom Produkt zur Dienstleistungserfüllungsmaschine). Vienna: Schriftenreihe Wirtschaftsf örderungsinstituts Österreich.

Shamraiz, A., Wong, K., & Riaz, A. (2019). Life cycle assessment for food production and manufacturing: Recent trends, global applications and future prospects. Procedia Manufacturing, 34, 49–57.

Sumrin, S., Gupta, S., Asaad, Y., Wang, Y., Bhattacharya, S., & Foroudi, P. (2021). Ecoinnovation for environment and waste prevention. Journal of Business Research, 122, 627–639. Tischner, U., Schmincke, E., Rubik, F., & Prosler, M. (2000). How to do ecodesign? A guide for environmentally and economically sound design. Berlin: German Federal Environmental Agency. Varžinskas, V., Kazulytė, L., Grigolaitė, V., Daugėlaitė, V., & Markevičiūtė, Z. (2020). Ecodesign methods and tools: An overview and applicability to packaging. Environmental Research, Engineering and Management, 76(4), 32–45.

Wenzel, H., Hauschild, M., & Alting, L. (1997). Methodology, tools and case studies in product development, environmental assessment of products (Vol. 1). London: Chapman Hall. Wimmer, W., Züst, R., & Kun-Mo, L. (2004). Ecodesign implementation: A systematic guidance on integrating environmental considerations into product development (Vol. 6). Alliance for Global Sustainability Book Series. Berlin: Springer.

Yi, L., Glatt, M., Sridhar, P., de Payrebrune, K., Linke, B. S., Ravani, B., & Aurich, J. C. (2020). An eco-design for additive manufacturing framework based on energy performance assessment. Additive Manufacturing, 33, 101–120.

Yokokawa, N., Masuda, Y., Amasawa, E., Sugiyama, H., & Hirao, H. (2020). Systematic packaging design tools integrating functional and environmental consequences on product life cycle: Case studies on laundry detergent and milk. Packaging Technology & Science, 33, 445–459.

Zeng, T. , Durif, F. , & Robinot, E. (2021). Can eco-design packaging reduce consumer food waste? An experimental study. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 162.

Verification of Circular Economy solutions and sustainability of products with Life Cycle Assessment

Adamczyk, W. , Nitkiewicz, T. , Rychwalski, M. , & Wojnarowska, M. (2015). "Gate-to-grave" life cycle assessment of different scenarios for handling used PV cells. In K. Michocka & M. Tichoniuk (Eds.), Current trends in commodity science: Development and assessment of non-food products (pp. 263–282). Poznań: Poznań University of Economics and Business. Ardente, F. , & Mathieux, F. (2014). Environmental assessment of the durability of energy-using products: Method and application. Journal of Cleaner Production, 74, 62–73. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.03.049

Arvidsson, R., Persson, S., Fröling, M., & Svanström, M. (2011). Life cycle assessment of hydrotreated vegetable oil from rape, oil palm and Jatropha. Journal of Cleaner Production, 19(2–3), 129–137. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.02.008

Chen, I. C., & Fukushima, Y. (2012). A graphical representation for consequential life cycle assessment of future technologies. Part 1: Methodological framework. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 17(1), 119–125. Doi:10.1007/s11367-011-0356-9

Christensen, T. H., Damgaard, A., Levis, J., Zhao, Y., Björklund, A., Arena, U., ... Bisinella, V. (2020). Application of LCA modelling in integrated waste management. Waste Management, 118, 313–322. Doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2020.08.034

Ciardelli, F., Bertoldo, M., Bronco, S., & Passaglia, E. (2019). Environmental Impact. Polymers from Fossil and Renewable Resources, 161–187. Doi:10.1007/978-3-319-94434-0_7 Colley, T. A., Birkved, M., Olsen, S. I., & Hauschild, M. Z. (2020). Using a gate-to-gate LCA to apply circular economy principles to a food processing SME. Journal of Cleaner Production, 251, 119566. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119566

Cooper, D. R., & Gutowski, T. G. (2017). The environmental impacts of reuse: A review. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 21, 38–56. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12388

Corcelli, F., Fiorentino, G., Vehmas, J., & Ulgiati, S. (2018). Energy efficiency and environmental assessment of papermaking from chemical pulp – a Finland case study. Journal of Cleaner Production, 198, 96–111. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.07.018

Cottafava, D., Costamagna, M., Baricco, M., Corazza, L., Miceli, D., & Riccardo, L. E. (2021). Assessment of the environmental break-even point for deposit return systems through an LCA analysis of single-use and reusable cups. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 27, 228–241. Doi:10.1016/j.spc.2020.11.002

Cullen, J. M. (2017). Circular economy: Theoretical benchmark or perpetual motion machine? Journal of Industrial Ecology, 21(3), 483–486. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12599

Czerniak, J., Gacek, A., Rychwalski, M., & Nitkiewicz, T. (2019). Optymalizacja środowiskowa zasilania urządzeń bateryjnych w gospodarstwach domowych jako realizacja idei zrównoważonej konsumpcji. In R. Salerno-Kochan (Ed.), Nauki o zarządzaniu i jakości wobec

wyzwań zrównoważonego rozwoju (Management and quality studies facing challenges of sustainable development) (pp. 63–74). Radom: Instytut Technologii Eksploatacji – Państwowy Instytut Badawczy.

Dieterle, M., Schäfer, P., & Viere, T. (2018, May). Life cycle gaps: Interpreting LCA results with a circular economy mindset. Procedia CIRP, 69, 764–768. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2017.11.058 Dieterle, M., & Viere, T. (2021). Bridging product life cycle gaps in LCA & LCC towards a circular economy. Procedia CIRP, 98, 354–357. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2021.01.116

Earles, J. M. , & Halog, A. (2011). Consequential life cycle assessment: A review. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 16, 445–453. Doi:10.1007/s11367-011-0275-9

Ekvall, T. (2020). Attributional and consequential life cycle assessment. Sustainability Assessment at the 21st Century. Doi:10.5772/intechopen.89202

Ekvall, T. , Azapagic, A. , Finnveden, G. , Rydberg, T. , Weidema, B. P. , & Zamagni, A. (2016). Attributional and consequential LCA in the ILCD handbook. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 21(3), 293–296. Doi:10.1007/s11367-015-1026-0

EMF . (2013). Towards the circular economy: Economic and business rationale for an accelerated transition. Ellen MacArthur Foundation. Retrieved May 15, 2021, from https://emf.thirdlight.com/link/x8ay372a3r11-k6775n/@/preview/1?o.

Frischknecht, R. (2010). LCI modelling approaches applied on recycling of materials in view of environmental sustainability, risk perception and eco-efficiency. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 15(7), 666–671. Doi:10.1007/s11367-010-0201-6

Galli, F., Bartolini, F., Brunori, G., Colombo, L., Gava, O., Grando, S., & Marescotti, A. (2015). Sustainability assessment of food supply chains: An application to local and global bread in Italy. Agricultural and Food Economics, 3(1). Doi:10.1186/s40100-015-0039-0 Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular economy: The expected

transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. Journal of Cleaner Production, 114, 11–32. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.007

Gironi, F., & Piemonte, V. (2011). Bioplastics and petroleum-based plastics: Strengths and weaknesses. Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects, 33(21), 1949–1959. Doi:10.1080/15567030903436830

Harris, S., Martin, M., & Diener, D. (2021). Circularity for circularity's sake? Scoping review of assessment methods for environmental performance in the circular economy. Sustainable Production And Consumption, 26, 172–186. Doi:10.1016/j.spc.2020.09.018

Hatcher, G. D., Ijomah, W. L., & Windmill, J. F. C. (2013). Integrating design for remanufacture into the design process: The operational factors. Journal of Cleaner Production, 39, 200–208. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.08.015

Heimersson, S., Morgan-Sagastume, F., Peters, G. M., Werker, A., & Svanström, M. (2014). Methodological issues in life cycle assessment of mixed-culture polyhydroxyalkanoate production utilising waste as feedstock. New Biotechnology, 31(4), 383–393. Doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2013.09.003

Hiloidhari, M., Baruah, D. C., Singh, A., Kataki, S., Medhi, K., Kumari, S., ... Shekhar Thakur, I. (2017). Emerging role of geographical information system (GIS), life cycle assessment (LCA) and spatial LCA (GIS-LCA) in sustainable bioenergy Planning. Bioresource Technology. Doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.079

Horne, R., Grant, T., & Verghese, K. (2009). Life cycle assessment: Principles, practice and prospects (Vol. 1). Collingwood: CSIRO Publishing. Doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004 Hottle, T. A., Bilec, M. M., & Landis, A. E. (2017). Biopolymer production and end of life comparisons using life cycle assessment. Resources, Conservation and Recycling. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.03.002

Ibrahim, M. H. A., & Steinbüchel, A. (2009). Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) production from glycerol by Zobellella denitrificans MW1 via high-cell-density fed-batch fermentation and simplified solvent extraction. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 75(19), 6222–6231. Doi:10.1128/AEM.01162-09

Ingrao, C., Bacenetti, J., Bezama, A., Blok, V., Goglio, P., Koukios, E. G., ... Huisingh, D. (2018, December). The potential roles of bio-economy in the transition to equitable, sustainable, post fossil-carbon societies: Findings from this virtual special issue. Journal of Cleaner Production, 204, 471–488. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.09.068

Ingrao, C., Matarazzo, A., Gorjian, S., Adamczyk, J., Failla, S., Primerano, P., & Huisingh, D. (2021). Wheat-straw derived bioethanol production: A review of life cycle assessments. Science of the Total Environment, 781, 146751. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146751

Ingrao, C., & Siracusa, V. (2017). Quality- and sustainability-related issues associated with biopolymers for food packaging applications: A comprehensive review. Biodegradable and Biocompatible Polymer Composites: Processing, Properties and Applications, 401–418. Doi:10.1016/B978-0-08-100970-3.00014-6

Ingrao, C., Tricase, C., Cholewa-Wójcik, A., Kawecka, A., Rana, R., & Siracusa, V. (2015). Polylactic acid trays for fresh-food packaging: A carbon footprint assessment. Science of the Total Environment, 537, 385–398. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.023

ISO 14040:2006. (2006). Environmental management – life cycle assessment – principles and framework. International Organization for Standardization. Retrieved from https://www.iso.org/standard/37456.html

Joachimiak-Lechman, K. (2014). Środowiskowa ocena cyklu życia (LCA) i rachunek kosztów cyklu życia (LCC): Aspekty porównawcze [Environmental life cycle assessment and life cycle cost: Comparative aspects]. Ekonomia i Środowisko, 1(48).

King, A. M., Burgess, S. C., Ijomah, W., & McMahon, C. A. (2006). Reducing waste: Repair, recondition, remanufacture or recycle? Sustainable Development, 14, 257–267. Doi:10.1002/sd.271

Kjaer, L. L., Pigosso, D. C. A., McAloone, T. C., & Birkved, M. (2018). Guidelines for evaluating the environmental performance of product/service-systems through life cycle assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 190, 666–678. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.108 Koller, M. (2019). Polyhydroxyalkanoate biosynthesis at the edge of water activity-haloarchaea as biopolyester factories. Bioengineering, 6(2), 34. Doi:10.3390/bioengineering6020034 Kookos, I. K., Koutinas, A., & Vlysidis, A. (2019). Life cycle assessment of bioprocessing schemes for poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) production using soybean oil and sucrose as carbon sources. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 141, 317–328.

Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.10.025

Milios, L., Beqiri, B., Whalen, K. A., & Jelonek, S. H. (2019). Sailing towards a circular economy: Conditions for increased reuse and remanufacturing in the Scandinavian maritime sector. Journal of Cleaner Production, 225, 227–235. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.330 Murphy, R., Detzel, A., Guo, M., & Krüger, M. (2011). Comment on sustainability metrics: Life cycle assessment and green design in polymers. Environmental Science and Technology, 45(11), 5055–5056. Doi:10.1021/es103890v

Niero, M., & Hauschild, M. Z. (2017). Closing the loop for packaging: Finding a framework to operationalize circular economy strategies. Procedia CIRP, 61, 685–690. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2016.11.209

Niero, M., & Rivera, X. C. S. (2018, May). The role of life cycle sustainability assessment in the implementation of circular economy principles in organizations. Procedia CIRP, 69, 793–798. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2017.11.022

Nitkiewicz, T. (2017). Wykorzystanie środowiskowej oceny cyklu życia w analizie procesów i przepływów logistycznych. Częstochowa: Wyd. Wydziału Zarządzania Politechniki Częstochowskiej.

Nitkiewicz, T. (2019). Possible scopes of life cycle assessment (LCA) use in the management of returned products. In K. S. Soliman (Ed.), Vision 2025: Education excellence and management of innovations through sustainable economic competitive advantage, proceedings of the 34th international business information management association conference (IBIMA) (pp. 10785–10792). Madrid: IBIMA. ISBN: 978-0-9998551-3-3

Nitkiewicz, T., & Starostka-Patyk, M. (2017). Contribution of returned products handling scenarios to life cycle impacts – research case of washing machine. Environmental Engineering and Management Journal, 1–29.

Nitkiewicz, T., Wojnarowska, M., Sołtysik, M., Kaczmarski, A., Witko, T., Ingrao, C., & Guzik, M. (2020). How sustainable are biopolymers? Findings from a life cycle assessment of polyhydroxyalkanoate production from rapeseed-oil derivatives. Science of the Total Environment, 749, 141279. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141279

Ozturk, H. H. (2014). Energy analysis for biodiesel production from rapeseed oil. Energy Exploration and Exploitation, 32(6), 1005–1031. Doi:10.1260/0144-5987.32.6.1005

Pena, C. , Civit, B. , Gallego Schmid, A. , Druckman, A. , Caldeira-Pires, A. , Weidema, B. , ... Motta, W. (2020). Using life cycle assessment to achieve a circular economy (Vol. 10). Cham: Springer.

Peters, K. (2015). Methodological issues in life cycle assessment for remanufactured products: A critical review of existing studies and an illustrative case study. Journal of Cleaner Production,

126, 21–37. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.050

Plevin, R. J., Delucchi, M. A., & Creutzig, F. (2014). Using attributional life cycle assessment to estimate climate-change mitigation benefits misleads policy makers. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 18, 73–83. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12074

Renzulli, P. A., Bacenetti, J., Benedetto, G., Fusi, A., Ioppolo, G., Niero, M., ... Supino, S. (2015). Life cycle assessment In the agri-food sector. Cham: Springer. Doi:10.1007/978-3-319-11940-3

Richa, K. , Babbitt, C. W. , & Gaustad, G. (2017). Eco-efficiency analysis of a lithium-ion battery waste hierarchy inspired by circular economy. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 21, 715–730. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12607

Ripa, M., Fiorentino, G., Vacca, V., & Ulgiati, S. (2017). The relevance of site-specific data in life cycle assessment (LCA): The case of the municipal solid waste management in the metropolitan city of Naples (Italy). Journal of Cleaner Production, 142, 445–460. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.149

Rufí-Salís, M., Petit-Boix, A., Villalba, G., Gabarrell, X., & Leipold, S. (2021). Combining LCA and circularity assessments in complex production systems: The case of urban agriculture. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 166, 105359. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105359 Rusch, M., & Baumgartner, R. J. (2020). Social life cycle assessment in a circular economy – a mixed-method analysis of 97 SLCA publications and its CE connections, 7th International Social Life Cycle Assessment Conference, Sweden (Online), 73–76.

Saidani, M., Yannou, B., Leroy, Y., Cluzel, F., & Kendall, A. (2019, January 10). A taxonomy of circular economy indicators. Journal of Cleaner Production, 207, 542–559. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.014

Sangprasert, W., & Pharino, C. (2013, January 8–9). Environmental impact evaluation of mobile phone via life cycle assessment. 3rd International Conference on Chemical Biological and Environment Sciences (ICCEBS'2013), Kuala Lampur.

Santagata, R., Ripa, M., Genovese, A., & Ulgiati, S. (2021, March 1). Food waste recovery pathways: Challenges and opportunities for an emerging bio-based circular economy. A systematic review and an assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 286, 125490. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125490

Schau, E. M., Traverso, M., Lehmannann, A., & Finkbeiner, M. (2011). Life cycle costing in sustainability assessment-A case study of remanufactured alternators. Sustainability, 3(11), 2268–2288. Doi:10.3390/su3112268

Schulz, M., Bey, N., Niero, M., & Hauschild, M. (2020). Circular economy considerations in choices of LCA methodology: How to handle EV battery repurposing? Procedia CIRP, 90, 182–186. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2020.01.134

Schwarz, A. E., Ligthart, T. N., Godoi Bizarro, D., De Wild, P., Vreugdenhil, B., & van Harmelen, T. (2021). Plastic recycling in a circular economy; determining environmental performance through an LCA matrix model approach. Waste Management, 121, 331–342. Doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2020.12.020

Sevigné-Itoiz, E., Gasol, C. M., Rieradevall, J., & Gabarrell, X. (2014). Environmental consequences of recycling aluminum old scrap in a global market. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 89, 94–103. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2014.05.002

Shah, J., Arslan, E., Cirucci, J., O'Brien, J., & Moss, D. (2016). Comparison of oleo- vs petrosourcing of fatty alcohols via cradle-to-gate life cycle assessment. Journal of Surfactants And Detergents, 19(6), 1333–1351. Doi:10.1007/s11743-016-1867-y

Starostka-Patyk, M. (2015). New products design decision making support by SimaPro software on the base of defective products management. Procedia Computer Science, 65(Iccmit), 1066–1074. Doi:10.1016/j.procs.2015.09.051

Tufail, S., Munir, S., & Jamil, N. (2017). Variation analysis of bacterial polyhydroxyalkanoates production using saturated and unsaturated hydrocarbons. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 48(4), 629–636. Doi:10.1016/j.bjm.2017.02.008

van Loon, P., Diener, D., & Harris, S. (2021). Circular products and business models and environmental impact reductions: Current knowledge and knowledge gaps. Journal of Cleaner Production, 288, 125627. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125627

Walker, S., Coleman, N., Hodgson, P., Collins, N., & Brimacombe, L. (2018). Evaluating the environmental dimension of material efficiency strategies relating to the circular economy. Sustainability, 10(3), 666. Doi:10.3390/su10030666

Wernet, G., Bauer, C., Steubing, B., Reinhard, J., Moreno-Ruiz, E., & Weidema, B. (2016). The ecoinvent database version 3 (part I): Overview and methodology. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 21(9), 1218–1230. Doi:10.1007/s11367-016-1087-8 Wojnowska-Baryła, I., Kulikowska, D., & Bernat, K. (2020). Effect of bio-based products on waste management. Sustainability, 12(5), 2088. Doi:10.3390/su12052088 Xiao, R., Zhang, Y., & Yuan, Z. (2016). Environmental impacts of reclamation and recycling processes of refrigerators using life cycle assessment (LCA) methods. Journal of Cleaner Production, 131, 52–59. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.05.085

Zink, T. , & Geyer, R. (2017). Circular economy rebound. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 21, 593–602. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12545

Significance and adjustment of environmental certification schemes in the Circular Economy

AFNOR . (2018). XP X 30–901 circular economy – circular economy project managem ent system – requirements and guidelines. Retrieved from

https://standards.globalspec.com/std/13096170/XP%20X30-901

Al-Kahloot, E., Al-Yaqout, A., & Khan, P. B. (2019). The impact of ISO 14001 standards certification on firms' performance in the state of Kuwait. Journal of Engineering Research (Kuwait), 7, 286–303.

Boiral, O., & Henri, J. F. (2012). Modelling the impact of ISO 14001 on environmental performance: A comparative approach. Journal of Environmental Management, 99, 84–97. Doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.01.007

BSI . (2017). BS 8001:2017 Framework for implementing the principles of the circular economy in organizations – guide. London: BSI Standards Limited.

Canestrino, R., Ćwiklicki, M., Kafel, P., Wojnarowska, M., & Magliocca, P. (2020). The digitalization in EMAS-registered organizations: Evidences from Italy and Poland. The TQM Journal, 32(4), 673–695. Doi:10.1108/TQM-12-2019-0301

Dahl, R. (2010). Green washing: Do you know what you're buying? Environmental Health Perspectives. Doi:10.1289/ehp.118-a246

D'Aveni, R. A. , Dagnino, G. B. , & Smith, K. G. (2010). The age of temporary advantage, Strategic Management Journal, 31, 1371–1385. Doi:10.1002/smj.897

de Jong, P., Paulraj, A., & Blome, C. (2014). The financial impact of ISO 14001 certification: Top-line, bottom-Line, or both? Journal of Business Ethics, 119, 131–149. Doi:10.1007/s10551-012-1604-z

Di Noia, A. E., & Nicoletti, G. M. (2016). ISO 14001 certification: Benefits, costs and expectations for organization. Studia Oeconomica Posnaniensia, 4, 94–109. Doi:10.18559/SOEP.2016.10.7

EC . (2015). Communication of 2.12.2015 on an EU action plan for the circular economy. Brussels: Author. doi :10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

EC . (2021). Retrieved from

https://ec.europa.eu/environment/emas/emas_registrations/statistics_graphs_en.htm Ejdys, J. (2010). Za i przeciw normalizacji systemów zarządzania. Zarządzanie Zasobami Ludzkimi, 3–4, 67–80.

EU . (2009). Regulation (ec) no 1221/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of November 25, 2009 on the voluntary participation by organisations in a community ecomanagement and audit scheme (EMAS), repealing Regulation (EC) No 761/2 001 and Commission Deci. Official Journal. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A32009R1221

EU . (2019). Communication from the commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. The European Green Deal. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52019DC0640

Heras-Saizarbitoria, I., Boiral, O., & Ibarloza, A. (2020). ISO 45001 and controversial transnational private regulation for occupational health and safety. International Labour Review, 159, 397–421. Doi:10.1111/ilr.12163

ISO . (2010). ISO 26000:2010 Guidance on social responsibility. Geneva, Switzerland: Author. ISO . (2015). ISO 14001:2015 Environmental management systems: Requirements with guidance for use. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.

ISO . (2017a). ISO 14001:2015 Environmental management systems: A practical guide for SMEs. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.

ISO . (2017b). ISO 20400:2017 sustainable procurement: Guidance. Retrieved from https://www.iso.org/standard/63026.html#:~:text=ISO%2020400%3A2017%20provides%20guid ance,by%2C%20procurement%20decisions%20and%20processes

ISO . (2018). ISO 50001:2018 Energy management sy stems: Requirements with guidance for use. Retrieved from https://www.iso.org/standard/69426.html

ISO . (2019). The ISO survey of management system standard certifications. Retrieved from https://www.iso.org/the-iso-survey.html

ISO . (2020). ISO 14009:2020 environmental management systems: Guidelines for incorporating mater ial circulation in design and development. Retrieved from https://www.iso.org/standard/43244.html

ISO/IEC . (2020). ISO/IEC. Directives, part 1. Consolidated ISO supplement – procedures specific to ISO, annex SL, proposals for management system standards, appendix 2 – high level structure, identical core text, com mon terms and core definitions (11th ed.). Retrieved from

 $https://www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs/iec/Consolidated_JTC1_Supplement_2020_publication.pdf$

Kafel, P. (2017). Integracja systemów zarządzania: Trendy, zastosowania, kierunki doskonalenia. Kraków: Wydawnictwo UEK Kraków.

Kafel, P., Nowicki, P., & Wojnarowska, M. (2021). Assumptions of a circular economy management standard for the food industry: Choosing the best structure. In Key challenges and opportunities for quality, sustainability and innovation in the fourth industrial revolution (pp. 489–503). Singapore: World Scientific. Doi:10.1142/9789811230356_0023

Kirchherr, J. , Reike, D. , & Hekkert, M. (2017). Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions. Resources, Conservation and Recycling.

Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.005

Nowicki, P. (2020). Gospodarka o obiegu zamkniętym a wykorzystanie znormalizowanych systemów zarządzania. In Z. Wojciechowski & P. Zaskórski (Eds.), Czwarta Rewolucja Przemysłowa. Mity, Paradygmaty i Zastosowania. Tom 2 – Wybrane Obszary Zastosowań Idei Przemysłu 4.0 (pp. 297–310). Warszawa: Wojskowa Akademia Techniczna.

Nowicki, P., Ćwiklicki, M., Kafel, P., & Wojnarowska, M. (2021). Credibility of certified environmental management systems: Results from focus group interviews. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 88, 106556. Doi:10.1016/j.eiar.2021.106556

Nowicki, P., Kafel, P., Balon, U., & Wojnarowska, M. (2020). Circular economy's standardized management systems: Choosing the best practice. Evidence from Poland. International Journal for Quality Research. Doi:10.24874/IJQR14.04-08

Pomponi, F. , & Moncaster, A. (2019). BS 8001 and the built environment: A review and critique. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Engineering Sustainability, 161. Prieto-Sandoval, V. , Jaca, C. , & Ormazabal, M. (2018). Towards a consensus on the circular economy. Journal of Cleaner Production, 179, 605–615. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.224 Santos, G. , Rebelo, M. , Lopes, N. , Alves, M. R. , & Silva, R. (2016). Implementing and certifying ISO 14001 in Portugal: Motives, difficulties and benefits after ISO 9001 certification. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 27, 1211–1223. Doi:10.1080/14783363.2015.1065176

Yeleyko, V., & Zamojski, J. (2017). ISO 20400 – pierwsza międzynarodowa norma o zrównoważonych zamówieniach. Studia i Materiały: Miscellanea Oeconomicae, 105–115. Zhang, J. J., Joglekar, N., & Verma, R. (2014). Signaling eco-certification. Journal of Service Management, 25, 494–511. Doi:10.1108/JOSM-01-2014-0035

Impact of environmental labelling upon popularisation of the Circular Economy

Altinay, Z., & Williams, N. (2019, May). Visuals as a method of coastal environmental communication. Ocean and Coastal Management, 178, 104809.

Doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.05.011

Asteria, D., Suyanti, E., Utari, D., & Wisnu, D. (2014). Model of environmental communication with gender perspective in resolving environmental conflict in urban area (study on the role of women's activist in sustainable environmental conflict management). Procedia Environmental Sciences, 20, 553–562. Doi:10.1016/j.proenv.2014.03.068

Barska, A., & Wojciechowska-Solis, J. (2020). E-consumers and local food products: A perspective for developing online shopping for local goods in Poland. Sustainability, 12(12). Doi:10.3390/su12124958

Boyer, R. H. W., Hunka, A. D., Linder, M., Whalen, K. A., & Habibi, S. (2021). Product labels for the circular economy: Are customers willing to pay for circular? Sustainable Production and Consumption, 27, 61–71. Doi:10.1016/j.spc.2020.10.010

Brauweiler, J. (2013). Znaczenie interesariuszy strategicznych. In A. Kryński , M. Kramer , & A. F. Caekelbergh (Eds.), Zintegrowane zarządzanie środowiskiem: Systemowe zależności między polityką, prawem, zarządzaniem i techniką. Warszawa: Oficyna a Wolters Kluwer Business.

Cantillo, J. , Martín, J. C. , & Román, C. (2021). A hybrid fuzzy topsis method to analyze the coverage of a hypothetical EU ecolabel for fishery and aquaculture products (FAPs). Applied Sciences, 11(1), 1–21. Doi:10.3390/app11010112

Carrefour . Retrieved February 15, 2021, from www.carrefour.pl

Commission Regulation (EC) No 889/2008 of September 5, 2008 laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products with regard to organic production, labelling and control, OJL 250, September 18, 2008.

Conad . Retrieved February 15, 2021, from www.conad.it

Cooper, S. L., Butcher, L. M., Scagnelli, S. D., Lo, J., Ryan, M. M., Devine, A., & O'Sullivan, T. A. (2020). Australian consumers are willing to pay for the health star rating front-of-pack nutrition label. Nutrients, 12(12), 1–16. Doi:10.3390/nu12123876

Cordova-Pizarro, D., Aguilar-Barajas, I., Rodriguez, C. A., & Romero, D. (2020). Circular economy in Mexico's electronic and cell phone Industry: Recent evidence of consumer behavior. Applied Sciences, 10(21), 1–21. Doi:10.3390/app10217744

Cradle to Cradle Certified Assessment Categories. Retrieved February 15, 2021, from www.c2ccertified.org/get-certified/product-certification

Cradle to Cradle Certified Products Registry. Retrieved February 15, 2021, from www.c2ccertified.org/%20products/registry

Cradle to Cradle Certified & UN Sustainable Development Goals. Retrieved February 15, 2021, from www.c2ccertified.org/get-certified/un-sustainable-development-goals

Crai . Retrieved February 15, 2021 , from www.crai-supermercati.it

C2ccertified. Retrieved February 15, 2021, from www.c2ccertified.org

E-commerce . Trade and the COVID-19 Pandemic. Information note. World Trade Organization. (2020, May 4). Retrieved February 15, 2021, from

www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/covid19_e/ecommerce_report_e.pdf

European Commission . (2021). EU ecolabel key figures. Retrieved February 15, 2021, from https://ec.europa.eu/environment/ecolabel/facts-and-figures.html

Freeman, E. R. (2010). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

FSC . Retrieved February 15, 2021, from www.fsc.org

Gregory-Smith, D., Manika, D., & Demirel, P. (2017). Green intentions under the blue flag: Exploring differences in EU consumers' willingness to pay more for environmentally-friendly products. Business Ethics, 26(3), 205–222. Doi:10.1111/beer.12151

Gulliver, R., Fielding, K. S., & Louis, W. R. (2021). Assessing the mobilization potential of environmental advocacy communication. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 74, 101563. Doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2021.101563

ISO 14021:2016 Environmental labels and declarations. Self-declared environmental claims. ISO 14024:2018 Environmental labels and declarations. Type I environmental labelling. Principles and procedures.

ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labels and declarations. Type III environmental declarations. Principles and procedures.

ISO 14050:2020 Environmental management. Vocabulary.

ISO 14063:2020 Environmental management. Environmental communication.

Kabaja, B. (2018). Kryteria oceny znakowania opakowań jednostkowych suplementów diety. Kraków: Prace Doktorskie, Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego w Krakowie.

Liang, T. C., Situmorang, R. O. P., Liao, M. C., & Chang, S. C. (2020). The relationship of perceived consumer effectiveness, subjective knowledge, and purchase intention on carbon label products – a case study of carbon-labeled packaged tea products in Taiwan. Sustainability, 12(19), 7892. Doi:10.3390/su12197892

Menozzi, D., Nguyen, T. T., Sogari, G., Taskov, D., Lucas, S., Castro-Rial, J. L. S., & Mora, C. (2020). Consumers' preferences and willingness to pay for fish products with health and environmental labels: Evidence from five European countries. Nutrients, 12(9), 2650. Doi:10.3390/nu12092650

Minkov, N., Bach, V., & Finkbeiner, M. (2018). Characterization of the cradle to cradle certifiedTM products program in the context of eco-labels and environmental declarations. Sustainabilit y, 10(3). Doi:10.3390/su10030738

Organitrust . Retrieved February 15, 2021, from https://organitrust.org/

Orzan, G., Cruceru, A. F., Balaceanu, C. T., & Chivu, R. G. (2018). Consumers' behavior concerning sustainable packaging: An exploratory study on Romanian consumers. Sustainability, 10(6). Doi:10.3390/su10061787

Patón-Romero, J. D., Baldassarre, M. T., Rodríguez, M., & Piattini, M. (2019). Application of ISO 14000 to information technology governance and management. Computer Standards and Interfaces, 65, 180–202. Doi:10.1016/j.csi.2019.03.007

Platonova, M. (2016). Applying emotive rhetorical strategy to environmental communication in English and Latvian. Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 236, 107–113. Doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.12.044

Preziosi, M., Tourais, P., Acampora, A., Videira, N., & Merli, R. (2019). The role of environmental practices and communication on guest loyalty: Examining EU-ecolabel in Portuguese hotels. Journal of Cleaner Production, 237. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117659 Regulation (EC) No. 66/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of November 25, 2009 on the EU Ecolabel, OJ L 27, January 30, 2010.

Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council of May 30, 2018 on organic production and labelling of organic products.

Rossi, C., & Rivetti, F. (2020). Assessing young consumers' responses to sustainable labels: Insights from a factorial experiment in Italy. Sustainability, 12(23), 1–23. Doi:10.3390/su122310115

ŠakovićJovanović, J., Vujadinović, R., Mitreva, E., Fragassa, C., & Vujović, A. (2000). The relationship between e-commerce and firm performance: The mediating role of internet sales channels. Sustainability, 12(17), 6993. Doi:10.3390/su12176993

Shabbir, M. S., Sulaiman, M. A. B. A., Al-Kumaim, N. H., Mahmood, A., & Abbas, M. (2020). Green marketing approaches and their impact on consumer behavior towards the environment – a study from the UAE. Sustainability, 12(21), 1–13. Doi:10.3390/su12218977

Singleton, B. E. (2019). The evolution of the super-whale: Complexity and simplicity in environmental communication. Marine Policy, 99, 170–172. Doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2018.10.018 Slamet, A., Nakayasu, A., & Bai, H. (2016). The determinants of Organic vegetable purchasing in Jabodetabek region, Indonesia. Foods, 5(4), 85. Doi:10.3390/foods5040085

Tam, V. W. Y., Shen, L. Y., Yau, R. M. Y., & Tam, C. M. (2007). On using a communicationmapping model for environmental management (CMEM) to improve environmental performance in project development processes. Building and Environment, 42(8), 3093–3107. Doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.10.035

Tseng, M. L., Sujanto, R. Y., Iranmanesh, M., Tan, K., & Chiu, A. S. (2020, April). Sustainable packaged food and beverage consumption transition in Indonesia: Persuasive communication to affect consumer behavior. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 161, 104933. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104933

Unde, A. , Arianto Bahfiarti, T. , Pulubuhu, D. A. T. , & Arsyad, M. (2020). Strategy on family communication and the extent of environmental health awareness in coastal area. Enfermería Clínica, 30, 64–68. doi: 10.1016/j.enfcli.2019.09.004

United Nations . Retrieved February 15, 2021, from https://sdgs.un.org/goals

Wiktor, J. W. (2013). Komunikacja marketingowa. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo PWN.

Wojciechowska-Solis, J., & Barska, A. (2021). Exploring the preferences of consumers' organic products in aspects of sustainable consumption: The case of the Polish consumer. Agriculture, 11(2), 138. Doi:10.3390/agriculture11020138

Xiao, H., & Wang, K. M. (2020). Does environmental labeling exacerbate heavily polluting firms' financial constraints? Evidence from China. China Journal of Accounting Research, 13(2), 147–174. Doi:10.1016/j.cjar.2020.05.001

Interrelationship between sustainable manufacturing and Circular Economy in the building sector

Adibi, N. , Mousavi, M. , Escobar, R. M. , Glachant, M. , & Adibi, A. (2019). Mainstream use of EPDs in buildings: Lessons learned from Europe (pp. 137–145). Dallas: ISBS.

Akerman, E. (2016). Development of circular economy core indicators for natural resources – analysis of existing sustainability indicators as a baseline for developing circular economy indicators (Master of Science thesis), Royal Institute, Stockholm.

Bukowski, H., & Sznyk, A. (2019). Metodologia dopasowania cyrkularnych modeli bizneso wych do priorytetowych sektorów wdrażania gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym w Polsce. Retrieved from

https://circulareconomy.europa.eu/platform/sites/default/files/the_circular_economy_in_policy_a nd_scientific_research.pdf

Deloitte . (2020). Polskie Spółki Budowlane. Retrieved from

https://www2.déloitte.com/pl/pl/pages/real-estate0/articles/raport-polskie-spolki-budowlane-2020.html

Directive 2010/31/EU of May 19, 2010 on energy performance of buildings and its further updates.

Directive 2012/27/EU of October 25, 2012 on energy efficiency.

EC . (2013). 2013/179/EU: Commission Recommendation of 9 April 2013 on the use of common methods to measure and communicate the life cycle environmental performance of products and organisations. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32013H0179

EC (European Commission) . (2014). Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on resource efficiency opportunities in the building sector; Communication COM(2014) 445.

EC (European Commission) . (2018). Communication from the commission to the European Parliament, the council, the European economic and social committee and the committee of the regions on a monitoring framework for the circular economy. Retrieved from

https://ec.europa.eu/environment/circular-economy/pdf/monitoring-framework_staff-workingdocument

EESC (European Economic and Social Committee) . (2018). Monitoring framework for the circular economy (communication). Retrieved from

www.eesc.europa.eu/en/ourwork/opinionsinformation-reports/opinions/monitoring-framework-circular-economy-communication

Energy Efficiency Market Report . (2015). Energy efficiency market report. Paris: International Energy Agency.

IRP . (2020). Resource efficiency and climate change: Material efficiency strategies for a lowcarbon future (E. Hertwich , R. Lifset , S. Pauliuk , & N. Heeren , Eds.). A report of the International Resource Panel. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme. ITB , (2010). Etvkiety i deklaracie środowiskowe według norm ISO. Published on

Zrównoważone Budownictwo. Retrieved from www.zb.itb.pl

Kulczycka, J., Bączyk, A., & Nowaczek, A. (2020). Monitorowanie transformacji gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym w dokumentach strategicznych Polski i UE. In J. Kulczycka (Ed.), Wskaźniki monitorowania gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Kraków: IGSMiE PAN.

Liu, X. (2014). Research on circular economy and industrial clusters. Management & Engineering, 15, 1838–5745.

Nowaczek, A., Kulczycka, J., & Bączyk, A. (2020). Postulowane mierniki monitorowania transformacji w kierunku gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. In J. Kulczycka (Ed.), Wskaźniki monitorowania gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Kraków: IGSMiE PAN.

OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development) . (2002). Indicators to me asure decoupling of environmental pressure from economic growth. Retrieved October 15, 2019, from

www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?doclanguage=en&cote=sg/sd(2002)1/final

Panek, A. (2005). Holistyczna metoda oceny oddziaływania obiektów budowlanych na środowisko naturalne uwzględniająca zasady rozwoju zrównoważonego. Warsaw: PL.

Piasecki, M. (2012). Deklaracje środowiskow e wyrobów budowlanych, typ III (EPD) – norma PN-EN 15804. Zrównoważone budownictwo. Retrieved from www.itb.pl/zrownowazone-budownictwo1.html

Pietrzyk-Sokulska E., Radwanek-Bąk, B., & Kulczycka, J. (2018). Mineralne surowce wtórne – problemy polskiego nazewnictwa i klasyfikacji w związku z realizacją gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Przegląd Geologiczny, 66(3), 160–165.

Statistics Pol and . (2020). Business tendency in manufacturing, construction, trade and services 2000–2020. Retrieved from www.stat.gov.en

Walker, S., Coleman, N., Hodgson, P., Collins, N., & Brimacombe, L. (2018). Evaluating the environmental dimension of material efficiency strategies relating to the circular economy. Sustainability, 10(666).

Wang, L. (2014). Construction on cluster green supply chain based on circular economy. Contemporary Logistics, 16, 78–82.

Enablers and barriers in the transition to circular business models

Antikainen, M., & Valkokari, K. (2016). A framework for sustainable circular business model innovation. Technology Innovation Management Review, 6(7), 5–12. Doi:10.22215/timreview/1000

Batista, L., Bourlakis, M., Smart, P., & Maull, R. (2019). Business models in the circular economy and the enabling role of circular supply chains. In L. de Boer & P. Houman Andersen (Eds.), Operations management and sustainability (pp. 105–134). Cham: Springer International Publishing. Doi:10.1007/978-3-319-93212-5_7

Bocken, N., de Pauw, I., Bakker, C., & van der Grinten, B. (2016). Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy. Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering, 33(5), 308–320. Doi:10.1080/21681015.2016.1172124

Bocken, N. , Strupeit, L. , Whalen, K. , & Nußholz, J. (2019). A review and evaluation of circular business model innovation tools. Sustainability, 11(8), Scopus. Doi:10.3390/su11082210 Braungart, M. , McDonough, W. , & Bollinger, A. (2007). Cradle-to-cradle design: Creating healthy emissions – a strategy for eco-effective product and system design. Journal of Cleaner Production, 15(13–14), 1337–1348. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2006.08.003

Bressanelli, G., Adrodegari, F., Perona, M., & Saccani, N. (2018). The role of digital technologies to overcome circular economy challenges in PSS business models: An exploratory case study. Procedia CIRP, 73, 216–221. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2018.03.322

Centobelli, P., Cerchione, R., Chiaroni, D., Del Vecchio, P., & Urbinati, A. (2020). Designing business models in circular economy: A systematic literature review and research agenda. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(4), 1734–1749. Doi:10.1002/bse.2466 Chen, L., Hung, P., & Ma, H. (2020). Integrating circular business models and development tools in the circular economy transition process: A firm-level framework. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(5), 1887–1898. Doi:10.1002/bse.2477 Ćwiklicki, M., & O'Riordan, L. (in press). Modes and factors in the transition towards a circular business model. In H. Lundberg, M. Ramirez-Pasillas, & V. Ratten (Eds.), Entering the territory of the unknown: Sustainability through circularity, digitalization and exploration. London: Taylor & Francis, Routledge.

de Mattos, C. A., & Meira de Albuquerque, T. L. (2018). Enabling factors and strategies for the transition toward a circular economy (CE). Sustainability, 1(12). Doi:10.3390/su10124628 Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business Oxford: Capstone Publishing Ltd.

Ellen MacArthur Foundation . (2021). Circular economy. Retrieved from www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/circular-economy

Ferasso, M., Beliaeva, T., Kraus, S., Clauss, T., & Ribeiro-Soriano, D. (2020). Circular economy business models: The state of research and avenues ahead. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(8), 3006–3024. Doi:10.1002/bse.2554

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Boston: Pitman. Gnoni, M. G., Mossa, G., Mummolo, G., Tornese, F., & Verriello, R. (2017). Supporting circular economy through use-based business models: The washing machines case. Procedia CIRP, 64, 49–54. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2017.03.018

Grant, R. M. (2006). Contemporary strategy analysis. Malden: Blackwell Publishing. Guldmann, E., & Huulgaard, R. D. (2020). Barriers to circular business model innovation: A multiple-case study. Journal of Cleaner Production, 243, 118160. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118160

Heyes, G., Sharmina, M., Mendoza, J. M. F., Gallego-Schmid, A., & Azapagic, A. (2018). Developing and implementing circular economy business models in service-oriented technology companies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 177, 621–632. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.168 Hofmann, F., & Jaeger-Erben, M. (2020). Organizational transition management of circular business model innovations. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(6), 2770–2788. Doi:10.1002/bse.2542

Johannsdottir, L. (2014). Transforming the linear insurance business model to a closed-loop insurance model: A case study of Nordic non-life insurers. Journal of Cleaner Production, 83, 341–355. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.07.010

Johnson, M. W., Christensen, C. C., & Kagermann, H. (2008). Reinventing your business model. Harvard Business Review, 86(12).

McDonough, W. , & Braungart, M. (2002). Design for the triple top line: New tools for sustainable commerce. Corporate Environmental Strategy, 9(3), 251–258. Doi:10.1016/S1066-7938(02)00069-6

Mostaghel, R. , & Chirumalla, K. (2021). Role of customers in circular business models. Journal of Business Research, 127, 35–44. Doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.12.053

Nußholz, J. L. K., Nygaard Rasmussen, F., & Milios, L. (2019). Circular building materials: Carbon saving potential and the role of business model innovation and public policy. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 308–316, Scopus.

Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.10.036

O'Riordan, L. (2017). Managing sustainable stakeholder relationships: Corporate approaches to responsible management (S. Idowu & R. Schmidpeter, Eds.). Cham: Springer Publishing. O'Riordan, L., & Hampden-Turner, C. (2021). CSR in Germany. In S. Idowu (Ed.), Current global practices of corporate social responsibility: In the era sustainable development goals. Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Palmié, M., Boehm, J., Lekkas, C. K., Parida, V., Wincent, J., & Gassmann, O. (2021). Circular business model implementation: Design choices, orchestration strategies, and transition pathways for resource-sharing solutions. Journal of Cleaner Production, 280, 124399. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124399

Ranta, V., Aarikka-Stenroos, L., & Väisänen, J. M. (2021). Digital technologies catalyzing business model innovation for circular economy – multiple case study. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 164, 105155. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105155

Rizos, V., Behrens, A., van der Gaast, W., Hofman, E., Ioannou, A., Kafyeke, T., ... Topi, C. (2016). Implementation of circular economy business models by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): Barriers and enablers. Sustainability, 8(11), 1212. Doi:10.3390/su8111212 Rovanto, I. K., & Bask, A. (2021). Systemic circular business model application at the company, supply chain and society levels – a view into Circular economy native and adopter

companies. Business Strategy and the Environment, 30(2), 1153–1173. Doi:10.1002/bse.2677 Scipioni, S., Russ, M., & Niccolini, F. (2021). From barriers to enablers: The role of organizational learning in transitioning SMEs into the circular economy. Sustainability, 13(3), 1021. Doi:10.3390/su13031021

Singh, P., & Giacosa, E. (2019). Cognitive biases of consumers as barriers in transition towards circular economy. Management Decision, 57(4), 921–936, Scopus. Doi:10.1108/MD-08-2018-0951

Sousa-Zomer, T. T., Magalhães, L., Zancul, E., & Cauchick-Miguel, P. A. (2017). Lifecycle management of product-service systems: A preliminary investigation of a white goods manufacturer. Procedia CIRP, 64, 31–36. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2017.03.041

Sousa-Zomer, T. T., Magalhães, L., Zancul, E., & Cauchick-Miguel, P. A. (2018). Exploring the challenges for circular business implementation in manufacturing companies: An empirical investigation of a pay-per-use service provider. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 135, 3–13, Scopus. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.10.033

Sumter, D., Bakker, C., & Balkenende, R. (2018). The role of product design in creating circular business models: A case study on the lease and refurbishment of baby strollers. Sustainability, 10(7), Scopus. Doi:10.3390/su10072415

Tunn, V. S. C., van den Hende, E. A., Bocken, N. M. P., & Schoormans, J. P. L. (2020). Digitalised product-service systems: Effects on consumers' attitudes and experiences. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 162, 105045. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105045 UN. (2015). About the sustainable development goals. Sustainable Development Goals.

Retrieved from www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/ Urbinati, A., Franzò, S., & Chiaroni, D. (2021). Enablers and barriers for circular business models: An empirical analysis in the Italian automotive industry. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 27, 551–566. Doi:10.1016/j.spc.2021.01.022

van Loon, P., & Van Wassenhove, L. N. (2020). Transition to the circular economy: The story of four case companies. International Journal of Production Research, 58(11), 3415–3422. Doi:10.1080/00207543.2020.1748907

van Nes, E. H., Arani, B. M. S., Staal, A., van der Bolt, B., Flores, B. M., Bathiany, S., & Scheffer, M. (2016). What do you mean, "tipping point"? Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 31(12), 902–904. Doi:10.1016/j.tree.2016.09.011

World Commission on Environment and Development (Ed.). (1987). Our common future. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Costs and benefits of transition towards a circular business model

Brunelli, M. (2015). Introduction to the analytic hierarchy process. Springer Briefs in Operations Research. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Doi:10.1007/978-3-319-12502-2 Ciechan-Kujawa, M., & Sychta, K. (2018). Cost accounting of product life cycle in the practice of Polish enterprises (pp. 95–107). Research Papers of Wrocław University of Economics, No. 514. Wrocław: Wrocław University.

Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Reg ions Closing the loop – An EU action plan for the Circular Economy, COM/2015/0614 final. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52015DC0614

Dhillon, B. S. (2009). Life cycle costing for engineers. London: CRC Press. Doi:10.1201/9781439816899

Elsayed, E. A. (2014). Life cycle costs and reliability engineering (N. Balakrishnan , T. Colton , B. Everitt , W. Piegorsch , F. Ruggeri , & J. L. Teugels , Eds.). New York: Wiley. Statistics Reference Online. Doi:10.1002/9781118445112.stat04150

Gregson, N., Crang, M., Fuller, S., & Holmes, H. (2015). Interrogating the circular economy: The moral economy of resource recovery in the EU. Economy and Society, 44(2), 218–243. Doi:10.1080/03085147.2015.1013353

Jansen, B. W., van Stijn, A., Gruis, V., & van Bortel, G. (2020). A circular economy life cycle costing model (CE-LCC) for building components. Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 161, 104857. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104857

Jaworski, T. J., & Grochowska, S. (2017). Circular economy – the criteria for achieving and the prospect of implementation in Poland. Archives of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, 19(4), 13–22.

Kułakowski, K. (2021). Understanding the analytic hierarchy process. Series in Operations Research. London: Chapman and Hall, CRC Press.

Marques, R. C. (2010). Regulation of water and wastewater services. An international comparison. London, UK: IWA Publishing.

Mu, E., Pereyra-Rojas, M. (2017). Practical decision making [An introduction to the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) Using super decisions V2]. Springer Briefs in Operations Research. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Doi:10.1007/978-3-319-33861-3

Öner, K. B., Franssen, R., Kiesmuller, G. P., & Houtum Van, G. J. J. A. N. (2007). Life cycle costs measurement of complex systems manufactured by an engineer-to-order company (BETA Publication: Working Papers; Vol. 209). Eindhoven: Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Prusak, A. (2017). Niespójność osądów w analitycznym procesie hierarchicznym. Kraków: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego w Krakowie.

Saaty, T. L. (1990). Decision making for leaders: The analytic hierarchy process for decisions in a complex world. Pittsburgh: RWS Publications.

Saaty, T. L. (2000). Fundamentals of decision making and priority theory with the analytic hierarchy process. Pittsburgh: RWS Publications.

Saaty, T. L., & Vargas, L. G. (2012). Models, methods, concepts & applications of the analytic hierarchy process. International Series in Operations Research & Management Science. New York: Springer. Doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-3597-6

Voorn, B., van Genugten, M. L., & van Thiel, S. (2017). The efficiency and effectiveness of municipally owned corporations: A systematic review. Local Government Studies, 43(5), 820–841. https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2017.1319360.

Wakabayashi, Y., Peii, T., Tabata, T., & Saeki, T. (2017). Life cycle assessment and life cycle costs for pre-disaster waste management systems. Waste Management, 68, 688–700. Doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2017.06.014

Winans, K., Kendall, A., & Deng, H. (2017). The history and current applications of the circular economy concept. Renewable Sustainable Energy Reviews, 68, 825–833. Doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.123

Utilisation of digitalisation in sustainable manufacturing and the Circular Economy

Acerbi, F., & Taisch, M. (2020). A literature review on circular economy adoption in the manufacturing sector. Journal of Cleaner Production, 273, 123086. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123086

Assolombarda, Università Commerciale Luigi Bocconi – IEFE . (2015). ISO 14001:2015. Le novità della norma e le linee guida per l'applicazione dei nuovi requisiti. Milano: Assolombarda. Baines, T., Bigdeli, A. Z., Bustinza, O. F., Shi, V. G., Baldwin, J., & Ridgway, K. (2017). Servitization: Revisiting the state-of-the-art and research priorities. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 37(2), 256–278. Doi:10.1108/IJOPM-06-2015-0312 Beltramo, R., Cantore, P., Vesce, E., Margarita, S., & De Bernardi, P. (2018). The internet of things for natural risk management (Inte.Ri.M.). Perspectives on Risk, Assessment and Management Paradigms. Doi:10.5772/intechopen.81707

Beltramo, R. , Duglio, S. , & Paolo, C. (2016). SCATOL8®: A remote sensing network for risk assessment in the environmental management system. Quality – Access to Success, 17. Beltramo, R. , Duglio, S. , Peira, G. , & Gerbino, L. (2014). The environmental management system: A vector for the territorial development. The experience of the town of Giaveno (Italy). In T. Sikora & J. Dziadkowiec (Eds), Commodity science in research and practice – towards quality – management systems and solutions (pp. 19–29). Cracow: Polish Society of Commodity Science.

Bjørnbet, M. M., Skaar Ch. Fet, A. M., & Schulte, K. Ø. (2021). Circular economy in manufacturing companies: A review of case study literature. Journal of Cleaner Production, 294, 126268. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126268

Canestrino, R., Ćwiklicki, M., Kafel, P., Wojnarowska, M., & Magliocca, P. (2020). The digitalization in EMAS-registered organizations: Evidences from Italy and Poland. The TQM Journal, 32(4), 673–695. Doi:10.1108/TQM-12-2019-0301

Chen, M., & Zhang, F. (2009). End-of-life vehicle recovery in China: Consideration and innovation following the EU ELV directive. JOM, 61(3), 45–52. Doi:10.1007/s11837-009-0040-8 Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/ 1505 – of 28 August 2017 – Amending Annexes I, II and III to Regulation (EC) No 1221 / 2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Voluntary Participation by Organisations in a Community Eco-Management and Audit Scheme (EMAS)". s.d. 20. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-

content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L_.2017.222.01.0001.01.ENG

Ćwiklicki, M., & Wojnarowska, M. (2020). Circular economy and industry 4.0: One-way or twoway relationships? Engineering Economics, 31(4), 387–397. Doi:10.5755/j01.ee.31.4.24565 Darbra, R. M., Ronza, A., Stojanovic, T. A., Wooldridge, C., & Casal, J. (2005). A procedure for identifying significant environmental aspects in sea ports. Marine Pol lution Bulletin, 50(8), 866–874. Doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.04.037

Dopfer, K. , Foster, J. , & Potts, J. (2004). Micro-meso-macro. Journal of Evolutionary Economics, 14(3), 263–279. Doi:10.1007/s00191-004-0193-0

European Commission . (2015). Communication from the commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European economic and social committee and the committee of the regions closing the loop – an eu action plan for the circular economy. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:52015DC0614&from=ES

European Commission . (2020). Communication from the commission to the European Parliament, the Cou ncil, the European economic and social committee and the committee of the regions. Circular economy action plan. Retrieved from

https://ec.europa.eu/environment/strategy/circular-economy-action-plan_it

 $Gartner\ .\ (2021).\ Digitalization.\ (s.d.).\ Gartner\ glossary.\ Retrieved\ from$

www.gartner.com/en/information-technology/glossary/digitalization

Geissdoerfer, M. , Savaget, P. , Bocken, N. , & Hultink, E. (2017). The circular economy – a new sustainability paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production, 143, 757–768. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048

Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular economy: The expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. Journal of Cleaner Production, Towards Post Fossil Carbon Societies: Regenerative and Preventative Eco-Industrial Development, 114, 11–32. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.007

Gundes, S. (2016). The use of life cycle techniques in the assessment of sustainability. Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, Urban Planning and Architectural Design for Sustainable Development (UPADSD), 216, 916–922. Doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.12.088 Harris, S., Martin, M., & Diener, D. (2021). Circularity for circularity's sake? Scoping review of Assessment methods for environmental performance in the circular economy. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 26, 172–186. Doi:10.1016/j.spc.2020.09.018

Hoosain, M. S., Paul, B. S., & Ramakrishna, S. (2020). The impact of 4IR digital technologies and circular thinking on the united nations sustainable development goals. Sustainability, 12(23), 10143. Doi:10.3390/su122310143

Ingemarsdotter, E., Jamsin, E., & Balkenende, R. (2020). Opportunities and challenges in IoTenabled circular business model implementation – a case study. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 162, 105047. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105047

Ingemarsdotter, E., Jamsin, E., Kortuem, G., & Balkenende, R. (2019). Circular strategies enabled by the internet of things – a framework and analysis of current practice. Sustainability, 11(20), 5689. Doi:10.3390/su11205689

Ingemarsdotter, E., Kambanou, M. L., Jamsin, E., Sakao, T., & Balkenende, R. (2021). Challenges and solutions in condition-based maintenance implementation – a multiple case study. Journal of Cleaner Production, 296, 126420. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126420 Ingrao, C., Stella, E. R., Paolo, C., Paola De, B., Del Borghi, A., Vesce, E., & Beltramo, R. (2021). The contribution of sensor-based equipment to life cycle assessment through improvement of data collection in the industry. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 88. ISO, International Organization for Sta ndardization . (2015). ISO 14001:2015 Environmental management systems – requirements with guidance for use. ISO. Retrieved from https://www.iso.org/standard/60857.html Hall, J., & Wagner, M. (2012). Integrating sustainability into firms' processes: Performance effects and the moderating role of business models and innovation. Business strategy and the environment. New York: Wiley Online Library.

Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., & Hekkert, M. (2017). Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 127, 221–232. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.005

Korhonen, J., Nuur, C., Feldmann, A., & Birkie, S. E. (2018). Circular economy as an essentially contested concept. Journal of Cleaner Production, 175, 544–552. Doi:10.1016/j.iclepro.2017.12.111

Kovacic, I., Honic, M., & Sreckovic, M. (2020). Digital platform for circular economy in AEC industry. Engineering Project Organization Journal, 9. Retrieved from https://publik.tuwien.ac.at/files/publik 290949.pdf

Kristoffersen, E., Blomsma, F., Mikalef, P., & Li, J. (2020). The smart circular economy: A digital-enabled circular strategies framework for manufacturing companies. Journal of Business Research, 120, 241–261. Doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.07.044

Loglisci, G., Priarone, P. C., & Settineri, L. (2013). Cutting tool manufacturing: A sustainability perspective. 11th Global Conference on Sustainable Manufacturing, 275–280. Doi:10.14279/depositonce-4781

Moldavska, A., & Welo, T. (2017). The concept of sustainable manufacturing and its definitions: A content-analysis based literature review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 166, 744–755. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.006

Neugebauer, F. (2012). EMAS and ISO 14001 in the German industry – complements or substitutes? Journal of Cleaner Production, 37, 249–256. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.07.021 Ranta, V., Aarikka-Stenroos, L., & Väisänen, J. H. (2021). Digital technologies catalyzing business model innovation for circular economy – multiple case study. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 164, 105155. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105155

Rocca, R., Rosa, P., Sassanelli, C., Fumagalli, L., & Terzi, S. (2020). Integrating virtual reality and digital twin in circular economy practices: A laboratory application case. Sustainability, 12(6), 2286. Doi:10.3390/su12062286

Rossi, J., Bianchini, A., & Guarnieri, P. (2020). Circular economy model enhanced by intelligent assets from industry 4.0: The proposition of an innovative tool to analyze case studies. Sustainability, 12(17), 7147. Doi:10.3390/su12177147

Saidani, M., Yannou, B., Leroy, Y., Cluzel, F., & Kendall, A. (2019). A taxonomy of circular economy indicators. Journal of Cleaner Production, 207, 542–559.

Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.014

Salomone, R. (2008). Integrated management systems: Experiences in Italian organization. Journal of Cleaner Production, 16(16), 1786–1806. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2007.12.003 Schroeder, P., Anggraeni, K., & Weber, U. (2019). The relevance of circular economy practices to the sustainable development goals. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 23(1), 77–95. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12732

Şerban, R. A. (2017). The impact of big data, sustainability, and digitalization on company performance. Studies in Business and Economics, 12(3), 181–189.

Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L., Chiappetta, J. C. J., Foropon, C., & Filho, M. G. (2018). When Titans meet – can industry 4.0 revolutionise the environmentally-sustainable manufacturing wave? The role of critical success factors. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 132, 18–25. Doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2018.01.017

Stock, T. , & Seliger, G. (2016). Opportunities of sustainable manufacturing in industry 4.0. Procedia CIRP, 13th Global Conference on Sustainable Manufacturing – Decoupling Growth from Resource Use, 40, 536–541. Doi:10.1016/j.procir.2016.01.129

TCE, Ellen MacArthur Foundation . (2015). Retrieved from www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/publications/TCE_Ellen-MacArthur-Foundation_26-Nov-2015.pdf

World Commission on Environment and Development . (1987). Our common future. Oxford Paperbacks. Oxford: Author.

Zobel, T., Almroth, C., Bresky, J., & Burman, J. O. (2002). Identification and assessment of environmental aspects in an EMS context: An approach to a new reproducible method based on LCA methodology. Journal of Cleaner Production, 10(4), 381–396. Doi:10.1016/S0959-6526(01)00054-3

Assessing sustainability across circular inter-firm networks

Brown, P., Bocken, N., & Balkenende, R. (2019). Why do companies pursue collaborative circular oriented innovation? Sustainability, 11, 635.

Galindro, B. M., Welling, S., Bey, N., Olsen, S. I., Soares, S. R., & Ryding, S. O. (2020). Making use of life cycle assessment and environmental product declarations: A survey with practitioners. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 24, 965–975.

Gasparatos, A. (2010). Embedded value systems in sustainability assessment tools and their implications. Journal of Environmental Management, 91, 1613–1622.

Gasparatos, A. , El-Haram, M. , & Horner, M. (2008). A critical review of reductionist approaches for assessing the progress towards sustainability. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 28, 286–311.

Gasparatos, A. , & Scolobig, A. (2012). Choosing the most appropriate sustainability assessment tool. Ecological Economics, 80, 1–7.

Haffar, M. , & Searcy, C. (2017). Classification of trade-offs encountered in the practice of corporate sustainability. Journal of Business Ethics, 140, 495–522.

Hyder, A. S., Chowdhury, E. H., & Sundström, A. (2017). Balancing control and trust to manage CSR compliance in supply chains. International Journal of Supply Chain Management, 6, 1–14.

Kühnen, M., & Hahn, R. (2018). Systemic social performance measurement: Systematic literature review and explanations on the academic status quo from a product life-cycle perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production, 205, 690–705.

Morrison-Saunders, A., & Pope, J. (2013). Conceptualising and managing trade-offs in sustainability assessment. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 38, 54–63.

Muñoz-Torres, M. J., Fernández-Izquierdo, M. Á., Rivera-Lirio, J. M., Ferrero-Ferrero, I., Escrig-Olmedo, E., Gisbert-Navarro, J. V., & Marullo, M. C. (2018). An assessment tool to integrate sustainability principles into the global supply chain. Sustainability, 10, 535.

Peña, C., Civit, B., Gallego-Schmid, A., Druckman, A., Pires, A. C., Weidema, B., & Motta, W. (2021). Using life cycle assessment to achieve a circular economy. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 26, 215–220.

Qian, C., Seuring, S., & Wagner, R. (2020). Reviewing interfirm relationship quality from a supply chain management perspective. Management Review Quarterly, 71, 625–650. Reike, D., Vermeulen, W. J. V., & Witjes, S. (2018). The circular economy: New or refurbished as CE 3.0? – Exploring controversies in the conceptualization of the circular economy through a focus on history and resource value retention options. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 135, 246–264.

Roos Lindgreen, E., Salomone, R., & Reyes, T. (2020). A critical review of academic approaches, methods and tools to assess circular economy at the micro level. Sustainability, 12, 4973.

Sala, S., Ciuffo, B., & Nijkamp, P. (2015). A systemic framework for sustainability assessment. Ecological Economics, 119, 314–325.

Sala, S., Farioli, F., & Zamagni, A. (2013). Progress in sustainability science: Lessons learnt from current methodologies for sustainability assessment: Part 1. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 18, 1653–1672.

Schöggl, J. P., Fritz, M. M. C., & Baumgartner, R. J. (2016). Toward supply chain-wide sustainability assessment: A conceptual framework and an aggregation method to assess supply chain performance. Journal of Cleaner Production, 131, 822–835.

Schöggl, J. P., Stumpf, L., & Baumgartner, R. J. (2020). The narrative of sustainability and circular economy – a longitudinal review of two decades of research. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 163, 105073.

Silva, S., Nuzum, A. K., & Schaltegger, S. (2019). Stakeholder expectations on sustainability performance measurement and assessment: A systematic literature review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 217, 204–215.

Stindt, D. , Sahamie, R. , Nuss, C. , & Tuma, A. (2016). How transdisciplinarity can help to improve operations research on sustainable supply chains – a transdisciplinary modeling framework. Journal of Business Logistics, 37, 113–131.

Swarr, T. E., Asselin, A. C., Milà i Canals, L., Datta, A., Fisher, A., Flanagan, W., & Rasteiro, M. G. (2015). Building organizational capability for life cycle management. In G. Sonnemann & M. Margni (Eds.), Life cycle management, LCA compendium (pp. 239–256). Dordrecht:

Springer.

United Nations . (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development [WWW Document]: Sustainable development knowledge platform. Retrieved January 12, 2012, from https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld

Valenzuela-Venegas, G., Salgado, J. C., & Díaz-Alvarado, F. A. (2016). Sustainability indicators for the assessment of eco-industrial parks: Classification and criteria for selection. Journal of Cleaner Production, 133, 99–116.

Vegter, D. , van Hillegersberg, J. , & Olthaar, M. (2020). Supply chains in circular business models: Processes and performance objectives. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 162, 105046.

Voinov, A., Kolagani, N., McCall, M. K., Glynn, P. D., Kragt, M. E., Ostermann, F. O., & Ramu, P. (2016). Modelling with stakeholders – next generation. Environmental Modelling & Software, 77, 196–220.

Walker, A. M., Opferkuch, K., Roos Lindgreen, E., Raggi, A., Simboli, A., Vermeulen, W. J. V., Caeiro, S., & Salomone, R. (2021a). What is the relation between circular economy and sustainability? Answers from frontrunner companies engaged with circular economy practices. Circular Economy and Sustainability. Doi:10.1007/s43615-021-00064-7

Walker, A. M., Opferkuch, K., Roos Lindgreen, E., Simboli, A., Vermeulen, W. J. V., & Raggi, A. (2021b). Assessing the social sustainability of circular economy practices: Industry perspectives from Italy and the Netherlands. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 27, 831–844.

Walker, A. M., Vermeulen, W. J. V., Simboli, A., & Raggi, A. (2021c). Sustainability assessment in circular inter-firm networks: An integrated framework of industrial ecology and circular supply chain management approaches. Journal of Cleaner Production, 286, 125457. Walzberg, J., Lonca, G., Hanes, R. J., Eberle, A. L., Carpenter, A., & Heath, G. A. (2021). Do we need a new sustainability assessment method for the circular economy? A critical literature review. Frontiers in Sustainability, 1, 620047.

Wannags, L. L., & Gold, S. (2020). Assessing tensions in corporate sustainability transition: From a review of the literature towards an actor-oriented management approach. Journal of Cleaner Production, 264, 121662.

Wu, Z., & Pagell, M. (2011). Balancing priorities: Decision-making in sustainable supply chain management. Journal of Operations Management, 29, 577–590.

Zijp, M. C., Waaijers-van der Loop, S. L., Heijungs, R., Broeren, M. L. M., Peeters, R., Van Nieuwenhuijzen, A., & Posthuma, L. (2017). Method selection for sustainability assessments: The case of recovery of resources from waste water. Journal of Environmental Management, 197, 221–230.

Deliberation as a tool in cooperation with stakeholders in companies deploying the Circular Economy based on the example of Unimetal Recycling Sp. z o.o.

Dahl, R. A. (2012). Democracy and its critics. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Dryzek, J. S. (2001). Legitimacy and economy in deliberative democracy. Political Theory, 29(5), 651.

Frith, R. (2008). Cosmopolitan democracy and the EU: The case of gender. Political Studies, 56(1).

Gagnon, J. (2011). A potential demarcation between "old" and "new" democratic theory? An attempt at positioning a segment of the extant literature. Social Alternatives, 30(3).

Generowicz, N. , Kulczycka, J. , Partyka, M. , & Saługa, K. (2021). Key challenges and opportunities for an effective supply chain system in the catalyst recycling market – a case study of Poland. Resources, 10(2), 13. Doi:10.3390/resources10020013

Gutmann, A. (1998). Deliberative democracy. Liberal Education, 84(1).

Gutman, A., & Thomson, D. (2002). Deliberative democracy beyond process. The Journal of Philosophy, 10(2).

Habermas, J. (1999). Theorie des kommunikativen Handelns. Frankfurt a.M: Suhrkamp. Held, D. (2006). Models of democracy. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Janikowska, O., & Słodczyk, J. (2016). Globalna sprawiedliwość (Global justice). Opole: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Opolskiego.

Kapczyńska, K. (2020). WasteMaster zdobył inwestora. Retrieved from www.pb.pl/smieci-w-aplikacji-1102201

Kulczycka, J. (Eds.). (2016). Surowce kluczowe dla polskiej gospodarki (Key raw materials for the Polish economy). Kraków: IGSMiE PAN.

Śardecka-Nowak, M. (2008). Demokracja deliberatywna jako remedium na ponowoczesny kryzys legitymizacji władzy (Deliberative democracy as a remedy for the postmodern crisis of the legitimacy of power). Teka Kom. Politol. i Stos. Międzynar. – OL PAN. Retrieved from https://fbc.pionier.net.pl/details/nnlSrZ7

Unimetal Recycling . Retrieved from https://unimetalrecycling.pl/oferta/

Urban, K. (2020). Sprawność katalizatora a jakość powietrza (Catalyst efficiency and air quality). Dostęp Online. Retrieved from http://odpowiedzialny-

inwestor.pl/2020/12/24/sprawnosc-katalizatora-a-jakosc-powietrza/

Circular models for sustainable supply chain management

Ayres, R. U. (1999). The second law, the fourth law, recycling and limits to growth. Ecological Economics, 29(3), 473–483. Retrieved from

https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:eee:ecolec:v:29:y:1999:i:3:p:473-483

Beu, D., Ciugudeanu, C., & Buzdugan, M. (2018). Circular economy aspects regarding LED lighting retrofit – from case studies to vision. Sustainability. Doi:10.3390/su10103674 Bocken, N. M. P., de Pauw, I., Bakker, C. A., & van der Grinten, B. (2016). Product design

and business model strategies for a circular economy. Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering, 33(5), 308–320. Doi:10.1080/21681015.2016.1172124

Daioglou, V., Faaij, A. P. C., Saygin, D., Patel, M. K., Wickea, B., & van Vuurenab, D. P. (2014). Energy demand and emissions of the non-energy sector. Energy & Environmental Science, 7(2), 482–498. Doi:10.1039/C3EE42667J

De Angelis, R., Howard, M., & Miemczyk, J. (2018). Supply chain management and the circular economy: Towards the circular supply chain. Production Planning & Control, 29(6), 425–437. Doi:10.1080/09537287.2018.1449244

De Oliveira, C. T., Luna, M. M. M. A. & Campos, L. M. S. (2019). Understanding the Brazilian expanded polystyrene supply chain and its reverse logistics towards circular economy. Journal of Cleaner Production, 235, 562–573. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.06.319

European Commission . (2012). Innovating for sustainable growth: A bioeconomy for Europe. Brussels: Author.

Geissdoerfer, M., Morioka, S., de Carvalho, M., & Evans, S. (2018). Business models and supply chains for the circular economy. Journal of Cleaner Production, 190, 712–721. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.159

Geissdoerfer, M., Pieroni, M. P., Pigosso, D. C. A., & Soufania, K. (2020). Circular business models: A review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 277, 123741.

Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123741

Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The circular economy – a new sustainability paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production.

Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048

Genovese, A. , Acquaye, A. A. , Figueroa, A. , & Koh, S. C. L. (2017). Sustainable supply chain management and the transition towards a circular economy: Evidence and some applications. Omega. Doi:10.1016/j.omega.2015.05.015

González-Sánchez, R., Blundo, S., Ferrari, A. M., & García-Muiña, F. E. (2020). Main dimensions in the building of the circular supply chain: A literature review. Sustainability. Doi:10.3390/su12062459

Gupta, H., Kusi-Sarpong, S., & Rezaei, J. (2020). Barriers and overcoming strategies to supply chain sustainability innovation. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 161, 104819. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104819

Karmaker, C. L., Ahmed, T., Ahmed, S., Mithun Ali, S., Moktadir, A., & Kabire, G. (2021). Improving supply chain sustainability in the context of COVID-19 pandemic in an emerging economy: Exploring drivers using an integrated model. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 26, 411–427. Doi:10.1016/j.spc.2020.09.019

Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A., & Seppälä, J. (2018). Circular economy: The concept and its limitations. Ecological Economics, 143, 37–46. Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.06.041 McCormick, K., & Kautto, N. (2013). The bioeconomy in Europe: An overview. Sustainability. Doi:10.3390/su5062589

Meager, S., Kumar, V., Ekren, B., & Paddeu, D. (2020). Exploring the Drivers And Barriers To Green Supply Chain Management Implementation: A study of independent UK restaurants. Procedia Manufacturing, 51, 1642–1649. Doi:10.1016/j.promfg.2020.10.229

Muñoz-Torres, M. J., Fernández-Izquierdo, M. Á., Rivera-Lirio, J. M., Ferrero-Ferrero, I., Escrig-Olmedo, E., Gisbert-Navarro, J. V., & Marullo, M. C. (2018). An assessment tool to integrate sustainability principles into the global supply chain. Sustainability. Doi:10.3390/su10020535

Muradin, M., Joachimiak-Lechman, K., & Foltynowicz, Z. (2018). Evaluation of eco- efficiency of two alternative agricultural biogas plants. Applied Sciences, 8(11), 2083, Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. Doi:10.3390/app8112083

Muradin, M., & Kulczycka, J. (2020). The identification of hotspots in the bioenergy production chain. Energies. Doi:10.3390/en13215757

Murray, A., Skene, K., & Haynes, K. (2017). The circular economy: An interdisciplinary exploration of the concept and application in a global context. Journal of Business Ethics, 140(3), 369–380. Doi:10.1007/s10551-015-2693-2

Pfau, S. F. , Hagens, J. E. , Dankbaar, B. , & Smits, A. J. M. (2014). Visions of sustainability in bioeconomy research. Sustainability. Doi:10.3390/su6031222

Ponte, B. , Naim, M. , & Syntetos, A. (2020). The effect of returns volume uncertainty on the dynamic performance of closed-loop supply chains. Journal of Remanufacturing, 10. Doi:10.1007/s13243-019-00070-x

Sheridan, K. (2016). Making the bioeconomy circular: The biobased industries' next goal? Industrial Biotechnology, 12(6), 339–340, Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. Publishers. Doi:10.1089/ind.2016.29057.ksh

Stegmann, P., Londo, M., & Junginger, M. (2020). The circular bioeconomy: Its elements and role in European bioeconomy clusters. Resources, Conservation & Recycling: X, 6, 100029. Doi:10.1016/j.rcrx.2019.100029

Szymczak, M. , & Nowicka, K. (2020). Logistyka i łańcuchy dostaw w obliczu czwartej rewolucji przemysłowej. Studia BAS, 63, 61–84. Doi:10.31268/StudiaBAS.2020.22

Tura, N., Hanski, J., Ahola, T., Ståhlec, M., Piiparinen, S., & Valkokari, P. (2019). Unlocking circular business: A framework of barriers and drivers. Journal of Cleaner Production, 212, 90–98. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.202

Zeng, H., Chen, X., Xiao, X., & Zhou, Z. (2017). Institutional pressures, sustainable supply chain management, and circular economy capability: Empirical evidence from Chinese ecoindustrial park firms. Journal of Cleaner Production, 155, 54–65.

Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.10.093

Zheng, B. , Yang, C. , Yang, J. , & Zhang, M. (2017). Pricing, collecting and contract design in a reverse supply chain with incomplete information. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 111, 109–122. Doi:10.1016/j.cie.2017.07.004

Determinants of consumer behaviour – towards sustainable consumption

Akenji, L., Bengtsson, B., Briggs, E., Chiu, A., Daconto, G., Fadeeva, Z., ... Tabucanon, M. (2015). Sustainable consumption and production: A handbook for policymakers. Geneva: UNEP.

Alexander, S. (2012). Degrowth implies voluntary simplicity: Overcoming barriers to sustainable consumption. SSRN Electronic Journal. Doi:10.2139/ssrn.2009698

Berns, M., Townend, A., Khayat, Z., Balagopal, B., Reeves, M., Hopkins, H. S., & Kruschwitz, N. (2009). The business of sustainability: What it means to managers now. MIT Sloan Management Review, 51, 20–26.

Brough, A. R., Wilkie, J. E. B., Ma, J., Isaac, M. S., & Gal, D. (2016). Is eco-friendly unmanly? The green-feminine stereotype and its effect on sustainable consumption. Journal of Consumer Research, 43(4), 567–582. Doi:10.1093/jcr/ucw044

Cleveland, M., Kalamas, M., & Laroche, M. (2012). "It's not easy being green": Exploring green creeds, green deeds, and internal environmental locus of control. Psychology & Marketing, 29(5), 293–305. Doi:10.1002/mar.20522

Cooper, T. (2013). Sustainability, consumption and the throwaway culture. In The handbook of design for sustainability (pp. 137–155). London: Bloomsbury.

Darby, S. (2006). The effectiveness of feedback on energy consumption: A review for defra of the literature on metering, billing and direct displays. Oxford: Environmental Change Institute, University of Oxford.

De Neve, J. E., & Sachs, J. D. (2020). Sustainable development and human well-being (pp. 113–128). World Happiness Report 2020. Retrieved from https://happiness-report.s3.amazonaws.com/2020/WHR20.pdf

Fernández-Rovira, C., Álvarez Valdés, J., Molleví, G., & Nicolas-Sans, R. (2021). The digital transformation of business: Towards the datafication of the relationship with customers. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 162, 120339.

Doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120339

Geiger, S. M., Fischer, D., & Schrader, U. (2018). Measuring what matters in sustainable consumption: An integrative framework for the selection of relevant behaviors. Sustainable Development, 26(1), 18–33. Doi:10.1002/sd.1688

Gifford, R., & Nilsson, A. (2014). Personal and social factors that influence pro- environmental concern and behaviour: A review. International Journal of Psychology. Doi:10.1002/ijop.12034 Gleim, M. R., Smith, J. S., Andrews, D., & Cronin, J. J. (2013). Against the green: A multimethod examination of the barriers to green consumption. Journal of Retailing, 89(1), 44–61. Doi:10.1016/j.jretai.2012.10.001

Green, T., & Peloza, J. (2014). Finding the right shade of green: The effect of advertising appeal type on environmentally friendly consumption. Journal of Advertising, 43(2), 128–141. Doi:10.1080/00913367.2013.834805

Haller, K. , Lee, J. , & Cheung, J. (2020). Meet the 2020 consumers driving change: Why brands must deliver on omnipresence, agility, and sustainability. IBM Institute for Business Value. Retrieved from www.ibm.com/downloads/cas/EXK4XKX8

Hardisty, D. J., Johnson, E. J., & Weber, E. U. (2010). A dirty word or a dirty world?: Attribute framing, political affiliation, and query theory. Psychological Science, 21(1), 86–92. Doi:10.1177/0956797609355572

Jaiswal, D. , & Singh, B. (2018). Toward sustainable consumption: Investigating the determinants of green buying behaviour of Indian consumers. Business Strategy & Development, 1(1), 64–73. Doi:10.1002/bsd2.12

Jansson, J. (2011). Consumer eco-innovation adoption: Assessing attitudinal factors and perceived product characteristics. Business Strategy and the Environment, 20(3), 192–210. Doi:10.1002/bse.690

Johnstone, M. L., & Tan, L. P. (2015). Exploring the gap between consumers' green rhetoric and purchasing behaviour. Journal of Business Ethics, 132(2), 311–328. Doi:10.1007/s10551-014-2316-3

Jung, J., Kim, S. J., & Kim, K. H. (2020). Sustainable marketing activities of traditional fashion market and brand loyalty. Journal of Business Research, 120, 294–301. Doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.04.019

Kumar, B., Manrai, A. K., & Manrai, L. A. (2017). Purchasing behaviour for environmentally sustainable products: A conceptual framework and empirical study. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 34, 1–9. Doi:10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.09.004

Lanzini, P., & Thøgersen, J. (2014). Behavioural spillover in the environmental domain: An intervention study. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 40, 381–390.

Doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.09.006

Lee, K. (2008). Opportunities for green marketing: Young consumers. Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 26(6), 573–586. Doi:10.1108/02634500810902839

Lucas, S., Salladarré, F., & Brécard, D. (2018). Green consumption and peer effects: Does it work for seafood products? Food Policy, 76, 44–55. Doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2018.02.017 Lukman, R., Lozano, R., Vamberger, T., & Krajnc, M. (2013). Addressing the attitudinal gap towards improving the environment: A case study from a primary school in Slovenia. Journal of Cleaner Production, 48, 93–100. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.08.005

Luo, X., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2006). Corporate social responsibility, customer satisfaction, and market value. Journal of Marketing, 70(4), 1–18. Doi:10.1509/jmkg.70.4.001

Maciejewski, G. (2020). Consumers towards sustainable food consumption. Marketing of Scientific and Research Organizations, 36(2), 19-30. Doi:10.2478/minib-2020-0014

Malodia, S. , & Bhatt, A. S. (2019). Why should I switch off: Understanding the Barriers to sustainable consumption? Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective, 23(2), 134–143. Doi:10.1177/0972262919840197

Mazurek-Łopacińska, K., & Sobocińska, M. (2014). Determinanty rozwoju zrównoważonej konsumpcji w Polsce – Wybrane zagadnienia. Zeszyty Naukowe Uniwersytetu Szczecińskiego: Problemy Zarządzania, Finansów i Marketingu, 35(824), 169–179.

Min, J., Azevedo, I. L., Michalek, J., & de Bruin, W. B. (2014). Labeling energy cost on light bulbs lowers implicit discount rates. Ecological Economics, 97, 42–50. Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2013.10.015

Mintel . (2021). Global consumer trends: The now, next, and future global consumer. Mintel. Retrieved from https://www.mintel.com/press-centre/social-and-lifestyle/mintel-announces-global-consumer-trends-for-2021

Mont, O. , & Plepys, A. (2008). Sustainable consumption progress: Should we be proud or alarmed? Journal of Cleaner Production, 16(4), 531–537. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2007.01.009 Morris, M. G. , & Venkatesh, V. (2000). Age differences in technology adoption decisions: Implications for a changing work force. Personnel Psychology, 53(2), 375–403. Doi:10.1111/j.1744-6570.2000.tb00206.x

Neale, A. (2015). Sustainable consumption: Sources of concept and implementation. Prace Geograficzne, 141, 141–158. Doi:10.4467/20833113PG.15.014.4066

Nielsen . (2015). The sustainability imperative. Nielsen Report. Retrieved from https://engageforgood.com/2015-nielsen-global-sustainability-report/

Noppers, E. H., Keizer, K., Bolderdijk, J. W., & Steg, L. (2014). The adoption of sustainable innovations: Driven by symbolic and environmental motives. Global Environmental Change, 25, 52–62. Doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.01.012

Olsen, M. C. , Slotegraaf, R. J. , & Chandukala, S. R. (2014). Green claims and message frames: How green new products change brand attitude. Journal of Marketing, 78(5), 119–137. Doi:10.1509/jm.13.0387

Patrzałek, W. (2016). Pro-environmental behaviour of households. Marketing i Zarządzanie, 3(44), 157–166.

Roberts, B. W., Walton, K. E., & Viechtbauer, W. (2006). Patterns of mean-level change in personality traits across the life course: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Psychological Bulletin, 132(1), 1–25. Doi:10.1037/0033-2909.132.1.1

Tunn, V. S. C., Bocken, N. M. P., van den Hende, E. A., & Schoormans, J. P. L. (2019). Business models for sustainable consumption in the circular economy: An expert study. Journal of Cleaner Production, 212, 324–333. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.290

UN . (2020). United Nations comprehensive response to COVID-19: Saving lives, protecting societies, recovering better. Retrieved from www.un.org/pga/75/wp-

content/uploads/sites/100/2020/10/un_comprehensive_response_to_covid.pdf Verplanken, B., & Roy, D. (2016). Empowering interventions to promote sustainable lifestyles: Testing the habit discontinuity hypothesis in a field experiment. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 45, 127–134. Doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.11.008

Waas, T., Verbruggen, A., & Wright, T. (2010). University research for sustainable development: Definition and characteristics explored. Journal of Cleaner Production, 18(7), 629–636. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.09.017

Walker, I., Thomas, G. O., & Verplanken, B. (2015). Old habits die hard: Travel habit formation and decay during an office relocation. Environment and Behaviour, 47(10), 1089–1106. Doi:10.1177/0013916514549619

White, K. , Habib, R. , & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). How to SHIFT consumer behaviours to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. Journal of Marketing, 83(3), 22–49.

Wiernik, B. M. , Dilchert, S. , & Ones, D. S. (2016). Age and employee green behaviours: A meta-analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 7. Doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00194

Characteristics of sustainable consumption from an economic perspective

Abrahamse, W., Steg, L., Vlek, C., & Rothengatter, T. (2005). A review of intervention studies aimed at household energy conservation. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 25(3), 273–291. Doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2005.08.002

Amatuni, L., Ottelin, J., Steubing, B., & Mogillon, J. M. (2020). Does car sharing reduce greenhouse gas emissions? Assessing the modal shift and lifetime shift rebound effects from a life cycle perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production, 266.

Balderjahn, I., Peyer, M., & Paulssen, M. (2013). Consciousness for fair consumption: Conceptualization, scale development and empirical validation. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 37, 546–555.

Beshears, J., & Kosowsky, H. (2020). Nudging: Progress to date and future directions. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 161, 3–19. Doi:10.1016/j.obhdp.2020.09.001

Bilska, B., Wrzosek, M., Krajewski, K., & Kolozyn-Krajewska, D. (2015). Zrównoważony rozwój sektora żywnościowego a ograniczenie strat i marnotrawstwa żywności [Sustainable development of food sector and limitations of food losses and its waste]. Journal of Agribusiness and Rural Development. 2(36). 171–179 [in Polish].

Brundtland, G. H. (1987). Our common future – Call for action. Environmental Conservation, 14(4), 291–294.

Bruska, A. (2016, April). Zrównoważona konsumpcja: Istota – formy – nabywcy [Sustainable consumption: Facts – forms – buyers]. Logistyka odzysku, 21, 27–31 [in Polish].

Calisto Friant, M. , Vermeulen, W. J. V. , & Salomone, R. (2021). Analyzing European Union circular economy policies: Words versus actions. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 27, 337–353.

Camana, D., Manzardo, A., Toniolo, S., Gallo, F., & Scipioni, A. (2021). Assessing environmental sustainability of local waste management policies in Italy from a circular economy perspective: An overview of existing tools. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 27, 613–629.

Cambridge Econometrics . (2014). Study on modelling of the economic and environmental impacts of raw material consumption. European Commission Technical Report 2014–2478. Cambridge: Author.

DellaValle, N., & Sareen, S. (2020). Nudging and boosting for equity? Towards a behavioural economics of energy justice. Energy Research & Social Science, 68, 101589. Doi:10.1016/j.erss.2020.101589

De Oliveira, C. T., Eduardo, T., Dantas, T., & Soares, S. R. (2021). Nano and micro level circular economy indicators: Assisting decision-makers in circularity assessments. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 26, 455–468.

Desing, H., Brunner, D., Takacs, F., Nahrath, S., Frankenberger, K., & Hischier, R. (2020). A circular economy within the planetary boundaries: Towards a resource-based, systemic approach. Resources Conservation and Recycling, 155, Article 104673.

Dolfsma, W. , & Mamica, Ł. (2020). Industrial policy – an institutional economic framework for assessment. Journal of Economic Issues, 54(2), 349–355.

Directive . (2018). Directive (EU) 2018/851 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directive 2008/98/EC on waste. Retrieved from https://eur-

lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32018L0851&from=PL

EASAC . (2016). Indicators for a circular economy. European Academies' Science Advisory Council. Halle (Saale), Germany: Author.

EESC . (2019). Circular economy strategies and roadmaps in Europe: Identifying synergies and the potential for cooperation and alliance building. European Economic and Social Committee. Retrieved from https://www.eesc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/files/qe-01-19-425-en-n.pdf.

EIA . (2019). International energy outlook 2019. Washington, DC: Author.

EMF (Ellen MacArthur Foundation) . (2015). Delivering the circular economy: A tool-kit for policymakers. Danish: Author.

EU . (2020). European Union: Circular economy action plan for a cleaner and a more competitive Europe (2020). Retrieved January 12, 2020, from

https://ec.europa.eu/environment/circular-economy/index_en.htm

Evans, D. (2011). Thrifty, green or frugal: Reflections on sustainable consumption in a changing economic climate. Geoforum, 42, 550–557.

Ghisellini, P., & Ulgiati, S. (2019). Circular economy transition in Italy: Achievements, perspectives and constraints. Journal of Cleaner Production. 243, 118360.

Głowacki, J., Kopyciński, P., Mamica, Ł., & Malinowski, M. (2019). Identyfikacja i delimitacja obszarów gospodarki w obiegu zamkniętym w ramach "zrównoważonej konsumpcji". In J. Kulczycka (Ed.), Gospodarka o obiegu zamkniętym w polityce i badaniach naukowych (pp. 167–179). Kraków: Wydawnictwo IGSMiE PAN [in Polish].

Gullstrand Edbring, E., Lehner, M., & Mont, O. (2016). Exploring consumer attitudes to alternative models of consumption: Motivations and barriers, Journal of Cleaner Production, 123, 5–15.

Guo, B., Geng, Y., Jingzheng, R., Zhu, L., Liu, Y., & Sterr, T. (2017). Comparative assessment of circular economy development in China's four megacities: The case of Beijing, Chongqing, Shanghai and Urumqi. Journal of Cleaner Production, 162(20), 234–246. Hertzberg, M., Siddons, A., & Schreuder, H. (2017). Role of greenhouse gases in climate change. Energy & Environment, 28(4), 530–539.

Hofmann, F. (2019). Circular business models: Business approach as driver or obstructer of sustainability transitions? Journal of Cleaner Production, 224, 361–374.

Iacovidou, E., Velis, C. A., Purnell, P., Zwirner, O., Brown, A., Hahladakis, J., ... Williams, P. T. (2017). Metrics for optimising the multi-dimensional value of resources recovered from waste in a circular economy: A critical review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 166, 910–938.

Jastrzębska, E. (2019). Konsument w gospodarce o obiegu zamkniętym [The consumer in a circular economy]. Studia i prace Kolegium Zarządzania i Finansów, 172, 53–69 [in Polish]. Kendel, A. , Lazaric, N. , & Maréchal, K. (2017). What do people "learn by looking" at direct feedback on their energy consumption? Results of a field study in Southern France. Energy Policy, 108, 593–605. Doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2017.06.020

Kopeć, M., Gondek, K., & Hersztek, M. (2018). Gospodarka o obiegu zamkniętym w kontekście strat i marnowania żywności [Circular economy in the context of food losses and wastage]. Polish Journal for Sustainable Development, 22(2), 51–58.

Kopyciński, P. (2021). The embeddedness of firms and employees in Central Europe: Krakow as an offshoring and outsourcing centre. In Ł. Mamica (Eds.), Outsourcing in European emerging economies: Territorial embeddedness and global business services (pp. 155–165). London and New York: Routledge.

KPZPO . (2014). Krajowy Plan zapobiegania powstawaniu odpadów. Warszawa: Author [in Polish].

Kubiczek, A. (2014). Jak mierzyć dziś rozwój społeczno-gospodarczy krajów? Nierówności Społeczne a Wzrost Gospodarczy, 38, 40–56.

Łapacz, D. (2015). Udział małych i średnich przedsiębiorstw w wytwarzaniu PKB – Polska na tle Unii Europejskiej. Współczesna Gospodarka, 6(1), 43–51.

Leoni, S. , Ronchi, E. , Aneris, C. , Bienati, M. , Pettinao, E. , & Vigni, F. (2019). Report on circular economy in Italy – 2019. ENEA [in Italian]. Retrieved from

https://circulareconomynetwork.it/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Proposals-and-Research-Summary-Report-on-circular-economy-in-Italy-2019.pdf

Lorek, S. , & Spangenberg, J. H. (2014). Sustainable consumption within a sustainable economy – beyond green growth and green economies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 63, 33–44.

Malinowski, M., Głowacki, J., Kopyciński, P., & Mamica, Ł. (2019). Wskaźniki oceny wdrażania gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym w obszarze zrównoważonej konsumpcji. In J. Kulczycka Joanna (Ed.), Gospodarka o obiegu zamkniętym w polityce i badaniach naukowych (pp. 181–192). Kraków: Wydawnictwo IGSMiE PAN.

Malinowski, M. , Głowacki, J. , Kopyciński, P. , & Mamica, Ł. (2021). Ocena transforamcji kraju w kierunku gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym – propoycja wskaźników pomiaru dla obszaru

"zrównoważona konsumpcja". In A. Krakowiak-Bal , M. Malinowski , & J. Sikora (Eds.), Infrastruktura I środowisko w gospodarce o obiegu zamknietym. Kraków: Published by PAN – in press.

Meyer, B., Distelkamp, M., & Beringer, T. (2015). Report about integrated scenario interpretation: GINFORS. Osnabrück: LPJmL Results, Gesesllschaft für wirtschaftliche Strukurforschung (GWS).

Ministry of the Environment, Energy and Marine Affairs . (2017). 10 key indicators for monitoring the circular economy. Retrieved from

https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:50078470

Ministry of Environment, Food and Ministry of Industry, Business and Financial Affairs . (2018). The Danish government: Strategy for circular economy. Copenhagen: Author.

MPiT . (2019). Mapa drogowa transformacji w kierunku gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym.

Warszawa. Retrieved February 2021, from www.gov.pl/web/przedsiebiorczosc-

technologia/rada-ministrow-przyjela-projekt-mapy-drogowej-goz

Nowaczek, A., Kulczycka, J., & Pędziewiatr, E. (2019). Przegląd wskaźników gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym w dokumentach strategicznych wybranych krajów UE. In J. Kulczycka (Ed.), Gospodarka o obiegu zamkniętym w polityce i badaniach naukowych (pp. 21–33). Kraków: Wydawnictwo IGSMiE PAN.

Ottelin, J. , Heinonen, J. , & Junnila, S. (2017). Rebound effects for reduced car ownership and driving. Nordic Experiences of Sustainable Planning: Policy and Practice, 263–283.

Pauliuk, S. (2018). Critical appraisal of the circular economy standard BS 8001:2017 and a dashboard of quantitative system indicators for its implementation in organizations. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 129, 81–92.

Pellet, P. F. (2018). Economic growth vs. Socioeconomic development: South Florida case study. Retrieved from https://nsuworks.nova.edu/hcbe_facpres/1356/

Pisarski, M. (2014). Wzrost gospodarczy a rozwój społeczno-gospodarczy w Chinach. Społeczeństwo i Ekonomia, 1, 173–182.

Poniatowska-Jaksch, M., & Sobiecki, R. (2016). Przedsiębiorczość w sharing economy. In M. Poniatowska-Jaksch & R. Sobiecki (Eds.), Sharing economy (gospodarka współdzielenia) (pp. 11–26). Warszawa: Oficyna Wydawnicza SGH.

Pothitou, M., Hanna, R. F., & Chalvatzis, K. J. (2016). Environmental knowledge, proenvironmental behaviour and energy savings in households: An empirical study. Applied Energy, 184, 1217–1229. Doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.06.017

Quaglione, D., Cassetta, E., Crociata, A., & Sarra, A. (2017). Exploring additional determinants of energy-saving behaviour: The influence of individuals' participation in cultural activities. Energy Policy, 108, 503–511.

Saidani, M., Yannou, B., Leroy, Y., & Cluzel, F. (2017). How to assess product performance in the circular economy? Proposed requirements for the design of a circularity measurement framework. Recycling, 2.

Saidani, M. , Yannou, B. , Leroy, Y. , Cluzel, F. , & Kendall, A. (2019). A taxonomy of circular economy indicators. Journal of Cleaner Production, 207, 542–559.

Schulze, G. (2016). Growth within: A circular economy vision for a competitive Europe. Ellen MacArthur Foundation and the McKinsey Center for Business and Environment, 1–22. Sharma, S. , & Christopoulos, G. (2021). Caring for you vs. caring for the planet: Empathic concern and emotions associated with energy-saving preferences in Singapore. Energy

Research & Social Science, 72, 101879. Doi:10.1016/j.erss.2020.101879 Smol, M., Avdiushchenko, A., Kulczycka, J., & Nowaczek, A. (2018). Public awareness of circular economy in southern Poland: Case of the Malopolska region. Journal of Cleaner Production, 197, 1035–1045.

Stępień, S., & Dobrowolski, D. (2017). Straty i marnotrawstwo w łańcuchu dostaw żywności – propedeutyka problemu. Progress in Economic Sciences, 4, 305–316.

Venema, T. A. G., Kroese, F. M., Verplanken, B., & Ridder, D. T. D. de (2020). The (bitter) sweet taste of nudge effectiveness: The role of habits in a portion size nudge, a proof of concept study. Appetite, 151, 104699. Doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.104699

The role of universities in development of the Circular Economy

Adomßent, M., & Michelsen, G. (2006). German academia heading for sustainability? Reflections on policy and practice in teaching, research and institutional innovations. Environmental Education Research, 12(1), 85–99. Doi:10.1080/13504620500527758 Andersson, M., & Hellerstedt, K. (2009). Location attributes and start-ups in knowledgeintensive business services. Industry & Innovation, 16(1), 103–121. Doi:10.1080/13662710902728126

Bonaventura Forleo, M., & Palmieri, N. (2017). University value for sustainability: What do stakeholders perceive? An Italian case study. Rivista di Studi sulla Sostenibilità, 2, 104–118. Censis . (2020). La classifica Censis delle Università italiane (Edizione 2020/2021). Roma: Fondazione Censis. Retrieved from

www.censis.it/sites/default/files/downloads/classifica_universit%C3%A0_2020_2021.pdf
Cracow University of Economics . (2020). W poszukiwaniu społecznej doskonałości: Raport społecznej odpowiedzialności uniwersytetu. Krakow: Cracow University of Economics.
De Marco, F., Gonano, M., & Pranovi, F. (2017). La sostenibilità nell'Università: Il caso di Ca' Foscari. In M. Fasan & S. Bianchi (Eds.), L'azienda sostenibile: Trend, strumenti e case study (pp. 159–181). Venezia: I libri di Ca' Foscari. Doi:10.14277/6969-188-1/LCF-4-8
EIRMA News . (1973). R&D Management, 4(1), 61. Doi:10.1111/j.1467-9310.1973.tb01034.x
Fernandes, C. I. , & Ferreira, J. J. M. (2013). Knowledge spillovers: Cooperation between universities and KIBS. R&D Management, 43(5), 461–472. Doi:10.1111/radm.12024
Fissi, S. , Romolini, A. , Gori, E. , & Contri, M. (2021). The path toward a sustainable green university: The case of the University of Florence. Journal of Cleaner Production, 279, 1–9.
Galán-Muros, V. , & Plewa, C. (2016). What drives and inhibits university-business cooperation in Europe? A comprehensive assessment. R&D Management, 46(2), 369–382.
Doi:10.1111/radm.12180

Harloe, M., & Perry, B. (2004). Universities, localities and regional development: The emergence of the "mode 2" university? International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 28(1), 212–223. Doi:10.1111/j.0309-1317.2004.00512.x

Hermannsson, K., Scandurra, R., & Graziano, M. (2019). Will the regional concentration of tertiary education persist? The case of Europe in a period of rising participation. Regional Studies, Regional Science, 6(1), 539–556. Doi:10.1080/21681376.2019.1680313 Hernández-Trasobares, A., & Murillo-Luna, J. L. (2020). The effect of triple helix cooperation on business innovation: The case of Spain. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 161, 120296. Doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120296

Ministerstwo Rozwoju . (2016). Komunikat Komisji Do Parlamentu Europejskiego, Rady, Europejskiego Komitetu Ekonomiczno-Społecznego I Komitetu Regionów, Zamknięcie obiegu – plan działania UE dotyczący gospodarki o obiegu zamkniętym. Retrieved from http://cima.ibs.pw.edu.pl/?page_id=158&lang=pl

Ministerstwo Rozwoju, Pracy i Technologii . (2018). Zrównoważony rozwój. Retrieved from www.gov.pl/web/rozwoj-praca-technologia/zrownowazony-rozwoj

MNiSW . (2020). Deklaracja Społecznej Odpowiedzialności Uczelni. Retrieved from http://wsinf.edu.pl/assets/img/podstorny/Deklaracja%20Spolecznej%20Odpowiedzialno%C5%9 Bci%20Uczelni.pdf

Mueller, P. (2006). Exploring the knowledge filter: How entrepreneurship and university – industry relationships drive economic growth. Research Policy, 35(10), 1499–1508. Doi:10.1016/j.respol.2006.09.023

MUR . (2020). Istituzioni universitarie accreditate. Retrieved from

www.miur.gov.it/web/guest/istituzioni-universitarie-accreditate

MUR . (2021). Open data: Portale dei dati dell'istruzione superior. Ministero dell'Istruzione dell'Università e della Ricerca. Retrieved from http://ustat.miur.it/opendata/

Orazbayeva, B. , Davey, T. , Plewa, C. , & Galán-Muros, V. (2020). Engagement of academics in education-driven university-business cooperation: A motivation-based perspective. Studies in Higher Education, 45(8), 1723–1736. Doi:10.1080/03075079.2019.1582013

Państwowy Instytut Badawczy, O. P. I. (2019). Szkolnictwo wyższe w Polsce w latach 2012–2018. Retrieved from https://radon.nauka.gov.pl/analizy/szkolnictwo-wyzsze-w-Polsce Sénit, C. A. (2020, June). Leaving no one behind? The influence of civil society participation on the sustainable development goals. Environment and Planning C, 38(4), 693–712. Doi:10.1177/2399654419884330

Shek, D. T. L., & Hollister, R. (2017). University social responsibility and promotion of the quality of life. In University students: Promotion of holistic development in Hong Kong. New York: Nova Science Publishers.

Sonetti, G., Barioglio, C., & Campobenedetto, D. (2020). Education for sustainability in practice: A review of current strategies within Italian universities. Sustainability, 12, 1–23. THE . (2021). The times higher education impact rankings. Times Higher Education. Retrieved from

www.timeshighereducation.com/impactrankings#!/page/0/length/25/sort_by/rank/sort_order/asc/ cols/undefined

UI GreenMetric . (2021). UI greenmetric world university rankings. Retrieved from https://greenmetric.ui.ac.id/

Universitas Indonesia . (2020). UI green metric world ranking 2020. Retrieved from http://greenmetric.ui.ac.id/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/press-release-UI-GreenMetric-World-University-Rankings-2020.pdf

Wasiluk, A. (2017). Pro-innovative prerequisites for establishing the cooperation between companies (in the perspective of creation and development of clusters). Procedia Engineering, 182, 755–762. Doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2017.03.195

Whalley, A. , & Hicks, J. (2014). Spending wisely? How resources affect knowledge production in universities. Economic Inquiry, 52(1), 35–55. Doi:10.1111/ecin.12011

Zampetakis, L. A., & Moustakis, V. (2006). Linking creativity with entrepreneurial intentions: A structural approach. The International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, 2(3), 413–428. Doi:10.1007/s11365-006-0006-z

Resilience of the Circular Economy

Bianchini, A., Rossi, J., & Pellegrini, M. (2019). Overcoming the main barriers of circular economy implementation through a new visualization tool for circular business models. Sustainability, 11(23), 6614. Doi:10.3390/su11236614

Bocken, N. , de Pauw, I. , Bakker, C. , & van der Grinten, B. (2016). Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy. Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering, 33(5), 308–320. Doi:10.1080/21681015.2016.1172124

Calvo-Porral, C., & Lévy-Mangin, J. P. (2020). The circular economy business model: Examining consumers' acceptance of recycled goods. Administrative Sciences, 10(2), 28. Doi:10.3390/admsci10020028

Chamberlin, L., & Boks, C. (2018). Marketing approaches for a circular economy: Using design frameworks to interpret online communications. Sustainability, 10(6).

Ellen MacArthur Foundation . (2015). Delivering the circular economy: A toolkit for policymakers. Cowes: Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

Ethirajan, M., Arasu, M. T., Kandasamy, J., Kek, V., Nadeem, S. P., & Kumar, A. (2021). Analysing the risks of adopting circular economy initiatives in manufacturing supply chains. Business Strategy and the Environment, 30(1), 204–236. Doi:10.1002/bse.2617

European Environment Agency . (2017). Circular by design: Products in the circular economy. (Vol. 6). Publications Office of the European Union. Retrieved from

https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2800/860754

Eurostat . (2021). Recycling rate of municipal waste. Eurostat. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/cei_wm011/default/table?lang=en Folke, C. (2006). Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social – ecological systems analyses. Global Environmental Change, 16(3), 253–267. Doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.04.002 García-Quevedo, J. , Jové-Llopis, E. , & Martínez-Ros, E. (2020). Barriers to the circular economy in European small and medium-sized firms. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(6), 2450–2464. Doi:10.1002/bse.2513 Giudice, F., Caferra, R., & Morone, P. (2020). COVID-19, the food system and the circular economy: Challenges and opportunities. Sustainability, 12(19), 7939. Doi:10.3390/su12197939 Govindan, K., & Hasanagic, M. (2018). A systematic review on drivers, barriers, and practices towards circular economy: A supply chain perspective. International Journal of Production Research, 56(1–2), 278–311. Doi:10.1080/00207543.2017.1402141

Guiltinan, J. (2009). Creative destruction and destructive creations: Environmental ethics and planned obsolescence. Journal of Business Ethics, 89(S1), 19–28. Doi:10.1007/s10551-008-9907-9

Guldmann, E., & Huulgaard, R. D. (2020). Barriers to circular business model innovation: A multiple-case study. Journal of Cleaner Production, 243, 118160. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118160

Hazen, B. T., Mollenkopf, D. A., & Wang, Y. (2017). Remanufacturing for the circular economy: An examination of consumer switching behavior. Business Strategy and the Environment, 26(4), 451–464. Doi:10.1002/bse.1929

Hosseini, S. , Barker, K. , & Ramirez-Marquez, J. E. (2016). A review of definitions and measures of system resilience. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 145, 47–61. Doi:10.1016/j.ress.2015.08.006

Ibn-Mohammed, T., Mustapha, K. B., Godsell, J., Adamu, Z., Babatunde, K. A., Akintade, D. D., ... Koh, S. C. L. (2021). A critical analysis of the impacts of COVID-19 on the global economy and ecosystems and opportunities for circular economy strategies. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 164, 105169. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105169

King, A., Miemczyk, J., & Dufton, D. (2006). Photocopier remanufacturing at Xerox UK. In D. Brissaud, S. Tichkiewitch, & P. Zwolinski (Eds.), Innovation in life cycle engineering and sustainable development (pp. 173–183). Cham: Springer.

Kirchherr, J., Hekkert, M., Bour, R., Huijbrechtse-Truijens, A., Kostense-Smit, E., & Muller, J. (2017). Breaking the barriers to the circular economy. Deloitte, Utrecht University. Retrieved from https://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/356517

Kirchherr, J., Piscicelli, L., Bour, R., Kostense-Smit, E., Muller, J., Huibrechtse-Truijens, A., & Hekkert, M. (2018). Barriers to the circular economy: Evidence from the European Union (EU). Ecological Economics, 150, 264–272. Doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.04.028

Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., & Hekkert, M. (2017). Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 127, 221–232. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.005

Kjaer, L. L., Pigosso, D. C. A., Niero, M., Bech, N. M., & McAloone, T. C. (2019). Product/service-systems for a circular economy: The route to decoupling economic growth from resource consumption? Journal of Industrial Ecology, 23(1), 22–35. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12747 Kovacic, Z., Strand, R., & Völker, T. (2019). The circular economy in Europe: Critical perspectives on policies and imaginaries (1st ed.). London: Routledge. Doi:10.4324/9780429061028

Labaka, L., Hernantes, J., Laugé, A., & Sarriegi, J. M. (2012). Resilience: Approach, definition and building policies. In N. Aschenbruck , P. Martini , M. Meier , & J. Tölle (Eds.), Future security (Vol. 318, pp. 509–512). Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer. Doi:10.1007/978-3-642-33161-9_74

Lewandowski, M. (2016). Designing the business models for circular economy – towards the conceptual framework. Sustainability, 8(1), 43. Doi:10.3390/su8010043

Linder, M. , & Williander, M. (2017). Circular business model innovation: Inherent uncertainties. Business Strategy and the Environment, 26(2), 182–196. Doi:10.1002/bse.1906

Lüdeke-Freund, F. , Gold, S. , & Bocken, N. M. P. (2019). A review and typology of circular economy business model patterns. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 23(1), 36–61. Doi:10.1111/jiec.12763

Mo, H., Wen, Z., & Chen, J. (2009). China's recyclable resources recycling system and policy: A case study in Suzhou. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 53(7), 409–419. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2009.03.002

Niinimäki, K. (2017). Fashion in a circular economy. In C. E. Henninger , P. J. Alevizou , H. Goworek , & D. Ryding (Eds.), Sustainability in fashion (pp. 151–169). Cham: Springer International Publishing. Doi:10.1007/978-3-319-51253-2_8

Östlin, J., Sundin, E., & Björkman, M. (2009). Product life-cycle implications for remanufacturing strategies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 17(11), 999–1009. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.02.021

Potting, J., Hekkert, M., Worrell, E., & Hanemaaijer, A. (2017). Circular economy: Measuring innovation in product chains (Policy Report). The Hague: PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agen.

RECAL . (n.d.). Recykling. Retrieved February 19, 2021, from https://recal.pl/recykling/ Reike, D., Vermeulen, W. J. V., & Witjes, S. (2018). The circular economy: New or refurbished as CE 3.0? – Exploring controversies in the conceptualization of the circular economy through a focus on history and resource value retention options. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 135, 246–264. Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.08.027

Singh, P., & Giacosa, E. (2019). Cognitive biases of consumers as barriers in transition towards circular economy. Management Decision, 57(4), 921–936. Scopus. Doi:10.1108/MD-08-2018-0951

Sumter, D. , de Koning, J. , Bakker, C. , & Balkenende, R. (2020). Circular economy competencies for design. Sustainability, 12.

Urbinati, A. , Chiaroni, D. , & Chiesa, V. (2017). Towards a new taxonomy of circular economy business models. Journal of Cleaner Production, 168, 487–498.

Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.047

Urbinati, A., Chiaroni, D., & Toletti, G. (2019). Managing the introduction of circular products: Evidence from the beverage industry. Sustainability, 11(13), 3650. Doi:10.3390/su11133650 Van Loon, P., Diener, D., & Harris, S. (2021). Circular products and business models and environmental impact reductions: Current knowledge and knowledge gaps. Journal of Cleaner Production, 288, 125627. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125627

Van Loon, P., & Van Wassenhove, L. N. (2018). Assessing the economic and environmental impact of remanufacturing: A decision support tool for OEM suppliers. International Journal of Production Research, 56(4), 1662–1674. Doi:10.1080/00207543.2017.1367107

Wijkman, A., & Skånberg, K. (2015). The circular economy and benefits for society: Jobs and climate clear winners in an economy based on renewable energy and resource efficiency. Club of Rome. Retrieved from https://clubofrome.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/The-Circular-Economy-and-Benefits-for-Society.pdf

Wuyts, W. , Marin, J. , Brusselaers, J. , & Vrancken, K. (2020). Circular economy as a COVID-19 cure? Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 162, 105016.

Doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105016

Zwiers, J. , Jaeger-Erben, M. , & Hofmann, F. (2020). Circular literacy: A knowledge-based approach to the circular economy. Culture and Organization, 26(2), 121–141. Doi:10.1080/14759551.2019.1709065